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The hydrogenation of aromatic compounds is a reaction of relevance in the improvement of diesel fuel quality. In this work, the kinetics of tetratin (1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene) hydrogenation over Pt/Al₂O₃ catalysts have been studied in an integral fixed bed reactor at 500 psig in the temperature range 553–573 K. A semiempirical Langmuir–Hinshelwood equation has been used to model the kinetics. The values of the kinetic parameters were determined by a nonlinear fitting, while the heats of adsorption for the hydrocarbons involved (tetratin and cis- and trans-decalin) were experimentally obtained by temperature-programmed desorption. The adsorption competition between tetratin and the hydrogenated products (cis- and trans-decalin) for surface active sites can be quantitatively traced to the higher heat of adsorption of tetratin compared to those of cis- and trans-decalins. As a result, while the activation energy for the cis–trans isomerization is significantly lower than the one for tetratin hydrogenation and its intrinsic reaction rate much higher than that of hydrogenation, the isomerization reaction can only be observed when the concentration of tetratin in the gas phase is very much reduced. In consequence, at low conversions, the trans/cis ratio remains unchanged, and it only increases to its equilibrium value at very high tetratin conversions.

1. Introduction

Despite much interest and progress in the development of alternative energy resources, it is expected that conventional fuels such as diesel and gasoline will be the dominating fuels for many years. Diesel fuel has the advantage of higher energy efficiency, but its use has the associated problems of NOₓ and particulate matter (PM) emissions, that are not straightforward to solve.¹ Aiming at 90% reduction in PM, NOₓ, and hydrocarbon emissions in new vehicles, future regulations will require control of engines and fuels as combined systems.² For example, the amount of PM emissions from diesel engines can be reduced by decreasing the sulfur content of the fuel, raising the cetane number, and decreasing the aromatic content.³ The last two factors are interconnected because reducing the content of aromatics significantly increases the cetane number.⁴ Therefore, increased interest has recently developed on the saturation of aromatics present in middle distillates, such as light cycle oil (LCO). Hydrogenation of polynuclear aromatics to monoaromatics is easily achieved by conventional hydrotreating. Yet, the saturation of the final ring is by far more difficult due to the stabilization of the monoaromatic ring.⁵–⁷ A recently proposed two-stage process contains a typical hydrotreating catalyst in the first stage (NiMo, NiW, CoMo) followed by a second stage of noble-metal catalyst, to ensure deep hydrogenation.⁸ Unfortunately, noble-metal-based catalysts are rapidly poisoned by common contaminants found in industrial feeds such as organic sulfur and nitrogen compounds.⁹–¹¹ A fundamental understanding of the hydrogenation of the last ring will help accelerate the development of more effective deep hydrogenation catalysts. In previous work done by our group,¹² we found that cis-decalin is much more active and selective than trans-decalin toward ring opening. Therefore, to improve CN one might need to maximize the production of cis-decalin. It is important to monitor the resulting cis/trans decalin ratio in the hydrogenation step.

In this contribution, we have conducted a kinetic study using a semiempirical model, which may provide a better understanding of the hydrogenation of the last ring in polynuclear aromatics. We have used tetratin (TL) as a probe of the one-ring aromatic compounds present in diesel fuels.⁶,⁷ One of the first mechanistic studies of the hydrogenation of TL was conducted by Weitkamp.⁷ After that seminal work, some studies have been carried out on this reaction, and in most cases, the kinetics have been described by pseudo-first-order models¹¹,¹³–¹⁵ or power-law models.¹⁶,¹⁷ A few authors¹⁸ have used Langmuir–Hinshelwood (L–H) type models but have made no attempt to differentiate between the two possible paths to cis-decalin (CD) and trans-decalin (TD) nor to account for the role of the difference in adsorption of reactants and products. Recently, Ruutu et al.¹⁹–²² have addressed, in a series of articles, the liquid-phase kinetic behavior of the hydrogenation of different aromatics on nickel catalysts. In those cases, the hydrogenation of TL was studied and fitted using...
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three different models: power law, simplified L–H, and a mechanistic based model.\textsuperscript{19} The hydrogenation of naphthalene\textsuperscript{20} and mixtures of TL, naphthalene, and toluene\textsuperscript{21} has been modeled using a simplified L–H-type expression. Alternatively, the kinetics of naphthalene and TL hydrogenation have been studied using a mechanistic-based model that features three possible types of adsorption modes of the aromatic on the active site.\textsuperscript{22} In the liquid phase, internal mass transfer limitations can become a complication, and therefore in all these cases, the parameters obtained from the fitting may have been affected by intraparticle mass transfer resistances, and as a result, the uncertainty in the parameters thus derived may be high.

In the present study, conducted in the vapor phase, a semiempirical kinetic model has been used. While not as rigorous as the microkinetics studies performed in some systems,\textsuperscript{23–26} it still provides physical significance to the parameters obtained from the fitting. For example, heats of adsorption have been obtained by a thermal desorption method while physical–chemical constraints have been imposed to the fittings to make the resulting parameters meaningful. Therefore, in contrast to previous studies, the parameters determined here have helped us get a better understanding of this reacting system.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Catalyst Preparation. The catalyst employed in this study was a 0.4 wt % Pt/Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}, prepared by incipient wetness impregnation of an aqueous solution of hydrogen chloroplatinate hexahydrate (from Acros) over γ-alumina (from Saint-Gobian NorPro Corp; surface area = 252 m\textsuperscript{2}/g, pore diameter = 10.8 nm). Before impregnation, the γ-alumina support was ground and sieved to 20–35 mesh-size particles. For the impregnation procedure, the original Pt solution was diluted with deionized water to reach a liquid-to-support ratio of 1.3 mL/g. After impregnation and thorough mixing, the catalyst was first dried at room temperature for 4 h, then in an oven at 383 K for 12 h, and finally calcined in an oven at 573 K for 2 h. The BET analysis of the nitrogen adsorption measurements indicated that the surface area for the calcined Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3} is 198 m\textsuperscript{2}/g.

2.2. CO Chemisorption. Dynamic chemisorption of CO was used to estimate the metal dispersion on the catalyst. The CO uptake measurements were performed in a 3/4 in. flow reactor made of quartz, containing 0.82 g of catalyst. Before exposure to CO, the samples were reduced in-situ under 100 SCCM of H\textsubscript{2} at 573 K for 1 h, and purged in flowing He, first at 573 K for 30 min and then cooled to room temperature. The stream was continuously monitored online by a mass spectrometer. Calibrated pulses of 250 μL of 5% CO in He were sent over the catalyst bed every 5 min, until the area of the (mass to charge) m/e = 28 peak stopped increasing, which indicated that the saturation adsorption capacity had been reached. The total amount of CO taken-up by the sample was calculated by quantifying the area of the peaks with the total number of CO moles present in the 250 μL loop filled with 5% CO in He. The resulting CO/Pt value was taken as a measure of the metal dispersion. It is known that, for platinum catalysts, the adsorption stoichiometry CO/Pt(s) is 1 for a wide range of dispersions.\textsuperscript{13} Accordingly, the catalyst used in this study had a metal dispersion of 93%, in good agreement with EXAFS data previously reported.\textsuperscript{27}

2.3. Temperature-Programmed Desorption (TPD) of Tetralin (TL), \textit{cis}-Decalin (CD), and \textit{trans}-Decalin (TD). TPD experiments of adsorbed TL, CD, and TD were conducted to determine the apparent heats of adsorption of the three molecules. This common method was first suggested by Cvetanomic et al.\textsuperscript{28} while the use of the technique has been reviewed by Falconer et al.\textsuperscript{29} The TPD runs were conducted in the same system as the dynamic CO chemisorption. The only modification was the addition of a saturation liquid trap where He is saturated with the corresponding hydrocarbon. First, 30 mg of sample was pretreated at 623 K in 100 SCCM of H\textsubscript{2} for 1 h. After the pretreatment, the sample was cooled in He to room temperature. This was followed by 5 mL injections of the carrier gas saturated with the hydrocarbon, sent over the catalyst every 5 min. The injections were stopped when the signal of hydrocarbon was detected, indicating the breakthrough. The weakly adsorbed hydrocarbon was removed by flowing He until the mass spectrometer signal went back to the baseline. Then, the sample was linearly heated to 573 K at a heating rate of 10 K/min. The m/e = 104 was monitored to determine the evolution of TL, while m/e = 67 was used to monitor both CD and TD. The amount of desorbed hydrocarbon was calibrated with 5 mL pulses of the hydrocarbon vapor in He, at a known vapor pressure.

2.4. Kinetic Data Collection. The kinetic experiments were conducted in a 3/4 in. stainless steel reactor equipped with a thermowell to insert the thermocouple into the center of the bed. The catalytic activity was measured at three temperatures, 553, 563, and 573 K, at a pressure of 500 psig and at a H\textsubscript{2}/aromatic molar ratio of 30. A measured amount of catalyst sample was physically mixed with inert alumina and placed in the center of the reactor between layers of 3 mm glass beads. The liquid feed was composed of 30 wt % of TL (Acros, 98+%) in dodecane as solvent (DO; Aldrich, 99%) plus 10 ppm of sulfur, with S being added in the form of dibenzothiophene (DBT; Aldrich, 99%).

The catalyst was first reduced under 225 SCCM of H\textsubscript{2} at 500 psig and 573 K for 2 h. After the pretreatment, when the reaction temperature was different from 573 K, the reactor was cooled in H\textsubscript{2} to the specified reaction temperature. Then, 10 mL/h of liquid feed was introduced to the reactor using a high-pressure D-500 Isco pump. The products were collected in a water-cooled trap and analyzed online by a HP6890 gas chromatograph with FID detector using an HP-5 column. The amount of catalyst used was varied in order to cover a wide range of space times, expressed as the W/F ratio, where W is the mass of catalyst and F is the flowrate of TL (mol/h). The reactor was operated and analyzed as an integral reactor, to cover a wide range of conversions. For each specified W/F, the kinetic data was collected at 4 h after the feed was started, using fresh catalyst each time. The conditions for intrinsic rate measurement were determined experimentally. Each experimental point is an average of 2–3 runs.

From the analysis shown in Figure 1, it was concluded that, to avoid external mass transfer resistance effects, the liquid feed rate should be greater than 10 mL/h. Likewise, it was determined that, to avoid internal mass transfer resistances, the diameter of particle should be equal or smaller than 0.4 mm (in all the kinetic studies, particles of 0.34 mm were used). Also, to ensure that the reactor was nearly isothermal across the bed, the
catalyst was diluted with an inert material. Dilution helps ensuring isothermicity, but it might introduce errors due to inhomogeneities in the solid mixture. Van Den Bleek et al.\textsuperscript{30} have introduced a criterion to determine the allowable degree of dilution to keep the error due to dilution negligible in comparison to the experimental error. Using this criterion for the specific particle diameter, reactor length, and experimental error of this study, we find that up to a dilution of 3.5:1 there will be no significant additional error incorporated. Therefore, we used dilution ratios of about 2:1 although for the runs at very low \( W/F \) this ratio was higher, but not exceeding the recommended ratio 3.5:1 maximum ratio.

3. Results and Discussion

On the basis of the shape of the TPD profiles and according to criteria previously reported,\textsuperscript{29} the desorption rate could be described neglecting any possible readsoption. Accordingly, the following expression was used in the evaluation of the desorption rate:

\[
\frac{d\theta}{dT} = \frac{k(\theta)}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{E_d(\theta)}{RT}\right)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where \( \theta \) is coverage, \( \beta \) is heating rate (K/s), \( E_d \) is energy of desorption (kJ/mol), and \( n \) is desorption order. A linear dependency of \( E_d \) with respect to \( \theta \) was used.

\[
E_d(\theta) = E_d + \alpha \theta
\]  \hspace{1cm} (3.2)

The linear dependency of heat of adsorption with coverage (\( \alpha \)) was found to be fairly small under the conditions of the experiment. This implies that heterogeneity among sites is small. This has been previously reported for certain metal-catalyzed reactions.\textsuperscript{31,32} The pre-exponential term \( k(\theta) \) was assumed to be constant.

The nonlinear parameters of the model were estimated using the Powell version of the Levenberg–Marquardt algorithm. The differential equation was solved using the EPISODE package of Scientist [Micromath] software. The evolution of experimental and simulated TL, CD, and TD coverage is shown in Figure 2 as a function of temperature in a linear ramp of 10 K/min. These curves are the integrated form of the TPD profiles and eq 3.1 respectively, normalized to saturation coverage. It is clear that TD desorbs at the lowest temperature, followed by CD, and finally TL. The heats of adsorption can be directly obtained from the fitting as the only adjustable parameters for each curve are

\( E_d \) and \( k_\beta \) (see eq 3.1), while \( \theta \) was varied from 1 to 0 and \( n \) was taken as 1.

The heat of adsorption of TL (\( -83.7 \) kJ/mol) was significantly higher than the ones resulting for CD (\( -66.7 \) kJ/mol) and TD (\( -65.3 \) kJ/mol). A higher heat of adsorption of the aromatic-containing molecule can be expected due to the rather strong interaction resulting from the parallel adsorption of aromatics on Pt surfaces.\textsuperscript{33–35} As expected, CD and TD, without aromatic rings have lower apparent heats of adsorption and do not show significant differences between each other. These apparent heats of adsorption obtained from TPD data are within the order of magnitude expected from the rule of thumb, found from microcalorimetry studies, in which the heat of adsorption of hydrocarbons over nonmicroporous surfaces is around 4–7 kJ/mol per carbon atom.\textsuperscript{36,37} The values of apparent heat of adsorption were used as initial estimates, for the heat of adsorption of the adsorption constants, in the kinetic fitting.

The detailed reaction path for TL hydrogenation was first described by Weitkamp.\textsuperscript{7} A simplified reaction network takes into account three main reactions: \( r_1 \) = hydrogenation of TL to CD; \( r_2 \) = hydrogenation of TL to TD, and \( r_3 \) = isomerization of CD and TD.\textsuperscript{6,15,16,38,39}

Under the conditions of the present study (temperature, pressure, and hydrogen/ \( \text{hydrocarbon} \) ratio), the first two reactions are essentially irreversible. However, the isomerization of CD to TD must be considered reversible. The proposed semiempirical kinetic model is described by the generalized \( \text{L–H} \) equation for
hydrogenation suggested by Kiperman. For a generic hydrogenation reaction of the form

\[ A + XH_2 \rightarrow B \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.3)

the rate-limiting step is a surface reaction, so the reaction rate can be described as

\[ r_i = \frac{k_P A^{n_1} P_{H_2}^{n_2}}{(L + K_A P_A + K_{H_2} P_{H_2}^m + K_B P_B^z)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.4)

In this model, which has been frequently used to describe hydrogenation of aromatics, \( n_1 \) and \( L \) are usually equal to 1; \( m \) is 0.5 for \( H_2 \) dissociative adsorption and 1 for molecular adsorption; \( z \) represents the number of surface sites required for the reaction step.\(^{4,19-21,38}\)

Assuming dissociative hydrogen adsorption, as is always the case over Pt surfaces, the model results in the following expressions for the three reactions:

\[ r_1 = \frac{k_1 K_{TL} K_{H_2} P_{TL}^{n_1} P_{H_2}^{n_2}}{(1 + K_{TL} P_{TL} + K_{CD} P_{CD} + K_{TD} P_{TD} + \sqrt{K_H P_{H_2}^z})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.5)

\[ r_2 = \frac{k_2 K_{TL} K_{H_2} P_{TL}^{n_1} P_{H_2}^{n_2}}{(1 + K_{TL} P_{TL} + K_{CD} P_{CD} + K_{TD} P_{TD} + \sqrt{K_H P_{H_2}^z})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.6)

\[ r_3 = \frac{k_3 K_{CD} (P_{CD} - P_{TD})}{(1 + K_{TL} P_{TL} + K_{CD} P_{CD} + K_{TD} P_{TD} + \sqrt{K_H P_{H_2}^z})} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.7)

In this case, the equilibrium constant \( K_{CD-TD} \) for the reversible isomerization reaction was estimated using HSC-Chemistry-5.0 [Outokumpu Research] software. The reaction rate constants were assumed to follow Arrhenius behavior, \( k = A \exp(-E/RT) \), while the apparent adsorption constants for \( H_2 \), TL, CD, and TD were expressed as

\[ K_i = \exp\left(\frac{\Delta S_i}{R}\right) \exp\left(-\frac{\Delta H_i}{RT}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.8)

The nonlinear estimation of the kinetic model was performed with the same algorithm used to estimate the heat of adsorption from the TPD experiments. The ordinary differential equation system was solved with the EPISODE package of Scientist [Micromath] software as well. The objective function was the sum of the squares of the differences between experimental and calculated mole composition of TL, CD, and TD at the end of the reactor.

The reactor was modeled as an isothermal plug flow reactor. As mentioned in the Experimental Section, the integral method of rate analysis was used due to the wide range of conversions investigated. The kinetic parameters were found to obey the Arrhenius law in the temperature range investigated. The kinetic fitting of all experiments was performed simultaneously for the three temperatures considered. The strong correlation between the frequency factor and the activation energy was avoided with the following reparametrization:\(^{41}\)

\[ k_i = A_i \exp\left(-\frac{E_i}{R \left(\frac{1}{T} - \frac{1}{T_{av}}\right)}\right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.9)

where \( T_{av} \) is the average temperature of the kinetic experiments (563 K).

The fitting parameters were limited by physical–chemical constraints. For example, frequency factors for Arrenhius equation and activation energies were forced to be positive. The initial values used in the fittings for the heats of adsorption were those obtained experimentally by TPD. Also, the entropy values were limited within the range established by the Boudart’s criterion:\(^{42,43}\)

\[ 41.87 \leq -\Delta S^o \leq 51.08 - 1.4 \times 10^{-3} \Delta H^o \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.10)

where \( \Delta H^o \) is expressed in J/mol and \( \Delta S^o \) in J/mol K.

The exponent in the adsorption term \( z \) was varied from 1 to 3, while the reaction order of TL was varied from 0 to 2. The reaction order with respect to hydrogen was not addressed explicitly because the concentration of \( H_2 \) under the conditions of the study was fairly constant throughout the catalyst bed. The initial estimate for the apparent heat of adsorption of \( H_2 \) was 29 kJ/mol, a typical value for hydrogen adsorption on Pt.\(^{44}\)

The goodness of the model, for each case, was measured by several factors such as the numerical value of the minimization function, stability of the answer (i.e., once an answer was found, the regression was re-run to see if other solutions close to the local minimum were found), agreement with physical–chemical constraints, standard error of the parameters, and study of the correlation matrix for the fit.

The best fit of the experimental data is shown in Figure 3 in the form of product distribution as a function of \( W/F \) at 573 K. A similarly good fitting was obtained for the other two temperatures investigated, which as mentioned above were determined simultaneously since the fitting parameters are heats of adsorption and activation energies. The value of the combined objective function for the simultaneous fitting at the three temperatures was \( 2.5 \times 10^{-5} \). It is clear that the selected model describes well the kinetics of the reaction in a wide range of conversion and for different temperatures, simultaneously. Also, from the best fit, the adsorption
exponent $z$ and the reaction order with respect to TL were found to be 2 and 1, respectively, in concordance with similar values previously reported in the literature.\textsuperscript{3,40,45,46}

The kinetic parameters (pre-exponential factors and activation energies) obtained for the three reactions are summarized in Table 1, while the apparent heats of adsorption and entropy changes for all the compounds involved (TL, H$_2$, CD, and TD) are reported in Table 2. These parameters were used to calculate the rate and adsorption constants at different temperatures as shown in Figure 4 for the rate constants and Figure 5 for the adsorption constants.

The activation energy values obtained for the three reactions vary between 98 and 141 kJ/mol (Table 1), which are somewhat higher than the ones previously reported in the literature for this reaction.\textsuperscript{16,46,47} This difference is reasonable if one takes into account that in the previous studies power-law or pseudo-first-order kinetic models were used; the activation energy thus obtained is in fact an apparent activation energy, which is affected by the adsorption terms and is typically lower than the true activation energy. Among the three reactions, the highest activation energy corresponds to the hydrogenation of TL to TD. This could be related to the more complicated reaction path that is required to produce TD compared to CD. As proposed by Weitkamp,\textsuperscript{7} in order to produce TD the intermediate Octalin (octa-hydro-naphthalene) has to either desorb and readesorb with the hydrogen facing up or roll over on the surface and be hydrogenated.\textsuperscript{13} On the other hand since the hydrogenation of a double bond is always syn, CD formation does not require this last step. Interestingly, the reaction with the lowest activation energy is the isomerization of CD to TD, which, as illustrated in Figure 4, has the highest intrinsic rate constant. However, despite a lower activation energy and higher intrinsic rate constant, this reaction does not become evident until the concentration of TL in the gas phase becomes low enough to allow CD and TD to compete favorably for adsorption sites.

In a recent contribution by our group,\textsuperscript{27} we hypothesized that site competition is one of the most important factors that govern the product distribution and relative rates in the hydrogenation of polynuclear aromatics. In the same article, we reported that for several noble-metal catalysts supported on Al$_2$O$_3$ the $\text{cis}$-$\text{trans}$ ratio remained essentially constant until the TL conversion was greater than about 70–85%. After this point, the $\text{cis}$-$\text{to}$-$\text{trans}$ isomerization clearly accelerated. This suggested that the $\text{cis}$-$\text{to}$-$\text{trans}$ isomerization was inhibited by the presence of TL. In the present contribution, we have confirmed this premise and quantified the effect of adsorption site competition, as illustrated in Figure 6.

The large difference between the adsorption constants of TL compared to either CD or TD is mostly due to their significant differences in heat of adsorptions (Table 2). Interestingly, there are some differences among the decalin isomers. Despite having very similar heats of adsorption, the adsorption constant for TD is almost twice as high as that for CD as shown in Figure 5. The difference in entropy seems to play an important role. The entropy change resulting from the fitting was higher for CD than for TD. This disparity is physically

![Figure 4. Arrhenius plot for the rate constants $k_i$ (mol/gcat h).](image)

![Figure 5. Temperature dependence of equilibrium adsorption constants (atm$^{-1}$).](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Pre-exponential term $A_i$ (mol/gcat h)</th>
<th>Activation energy $E_i$ (kJ/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TL $\rightarrow$ CD</td>
<td>$5.8 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$117 \pm 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL $\rightarrow$ TD</td>
<td>$6.0 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>$141 \pm 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD $\rightarrow$ TD</td>
<td>$14 \pm 1$</td>
<td>$98 \pm 21$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Heat of adsorption $\Delta H^\circ$ (kJ/mol)</th>
<th>Entropy change $\Delta S^\circ$ (J/mol K)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>$-84 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-155 \pm 5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>$-34 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-53 \pm 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>$-67 \pm 4$</td>
<td>$-144 \pm 6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TD</td>
<td>$-67 \pm 11$</td>
<td>$-137 \pm 19$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Kinetic Parameters and Standard Error for Best Model

Table 2. Adsorption Parameters and Standard Error for Best Model

![Figure 6. Model prediction ($\rightarrow$) of the inhibition of the $\text{cis}$-$\text{to}$-$\text{trans}$ reaction in comparison with experimental data ($\bullet$). Reaction temperature 573 K.](image)
consistent with the different flexibilities exhibited by the two molecules in the gas phase. The cis isomer is much more flexible than the trans form. The adsorbed, more rigid state, the difference between the two isomers should be much less pronounced than in the gas phase. As a result, the adsorption entropy change should be larger for CD than for TD, as observed here. Finally, the heat of adsorption obtained for H₃ deactivate until most of the TL is converted. This phenomenon can be quantitatively explained in terms of the differences in heat of adsorption obtained in this study for TL, CD, and TD. The true activation energies for the three reactions studied varies between 98 and 141 kJ/mol. In the absence of adsorption site competition, the intrinsically fastest reaction would be the isomerization of CD to TD, but this is not shown until the hydrogenation of TL is almost complete.
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