

JOURNAL OF THE FACULTY SENATE
The University of Oklahoma
Norman

Regular Session -- May 7, 1973 -- 3:30 p.m. -- Dale Hall, 218

The Faculty Senate was called to order by Dr. Wm. H. Maehl, Jr., Chairman.

Present:

Anderson, Paul S. (3)	Frueh, Forrest L. (3)	Ordway, Nelson K. (3)
Beaird, Lolly (0)	Hilbert, Richard E. (2)	Owens, Mitchell V. (1)
Brown, Homer A. (2)	Huneke, Harold V. (1)	Pollak, Betty (1)
Burwell, James R. (1)	Jischke, Martin C. (0)	Prickett, Wilson B. (1)
Chandler, Albert M. (0)	Laguros, Joakim G. (1)	Shahan, Robert W. (1)
Christian, Sherril (2)	Letchworth, George (1)	Staples, Ablert F. (0)
Crim, Sarah, R. (0)	Maehl, Wm. H., Jr. (0)	Stuart, Chipman G. (1)
de Stwolinski, Gail (1)	McDonald, Bernard R. (2)	Swank, David (2)
Eek, Nat S. (1)	Milby, T. H. (1)	Sutherland, Patrick (1)
Estes, James R. (3)	Miller, Fred (0)	Truex, Dorothy (4)
Feaver, J. Clayton (1)	Olson, Ralph E. (0)	Weinheimer, A.J. (2)

Student Association delegates: Anderson, Mark Tabor, Tim

Absent:

Bibens, Robert F. (4)	Gregory, Helen (5)	Sokatch, John R. (1)
Bogart, George A. (6)	Grunder, J. Richard (2)	Taylor, K. L. (1)
Costello, James (3)	Haden, C. R. (3)	Whitney, David A. (4)
Coussons, Timothy (5)	Hardin, Neal (2)	Wilson, Willima H. (4)
Donnell, Ruth J. (2)	Kuhlman, Richard (5)	Zahasky, Mary C. (2)
Felts, W.J. (3)	Levy, David (2)	
Gibson, Arrell N. (2)	Patton, Charles C. (4)	

Student Association delegates: Blackburn, Rob Marcuse, Barbara

(Note: The Senate held nine regular sessions during 1972-73. The figures in parentheses after each name denotes total absences.)

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

The Journal of the Faculty Senate for the regular session on April 9, 1973, was approved.

ACTIONS TAKEN BY PRESIDENT PAUL F. SHARP

Class "A," 24-hour Parking Lots: On April 17, 1973, Dr. Paul Sharp, President of the University, acknowledged receipt of Senate action taken on April 9, 1973, regarding the proposal to establish Class "A," 24-hour parking lots, with the following memorandum to the Secretary, Faculty Senate:

"I have your letter of April 9, requesting 24-hour parking lots for the use of faculty in three suggested areas. I am sympathetic with this request. I, too, have had difficulty in finding a parking place here at night so it has been brought home to me, personally, the difficulty the faculty faces in this matter. Further, I would not want it said that I was a President who made it difficult to work late hours, and 24 hours if necessary, in order to get our job done. If all it takes is a 24-hour reserve lot for some of the faculty to work these hours, I am certainly in accord with it.

"Since the semester will soon end there will be no problem in this matter in a couple of weeks. However, it will be impossible to make noticeable progress now. I am asking that certain lots be reserved for the next academic year that will serve this purpose. Our Traffic and Parking group is now studying how to control our parking more effectively and hopefully this summer we will come up with some different controls. Consideration is being given to parking gates, among other controls, to enforce our parking regulations and to

reserve the spaces for faculty on a more convenient basis. Some of the lots you have designated for 24 hours would be difficult to control, so we may wish to reconsider the exact location based on this summer's study so that these lots can be properly controlled for your convenience.

"I hope that you will bear with us until we can study this matter and install the proper signs, controls, etc., so that we can start the new year with everybody knowledgeable of the new system, whatever it is." (See pages 12 of the Faculty Senate Journal for April 9, 1973.)

Regents' Policy on Outside Employment: In acknowledging receipt of the April 9 action taken by the Faculty Senate concerning the proposed revisions in the Regents' policy on outside employment, Dr. Paul F. Sharp, President of the University, wrote as follows to Dr. Wm. Maehl, Jr., Senate Chairman, on April 25, 1973:

"We have reviewed the action taken by the Faculty Senate at its regular session on April 9, 1973, concerning several revisions in the Policy on Outside Employment and Extra Compensation within the University of Oklahoma, Norman campus.

"As you know, the current policy regarding outside employment and extra compensation within the University has been in effect for less than one year. When enacted by the University Regents upon the recommendations of the University Budget Council and the Interim President of the University, the policy represented a significant step in this important area. The changes enacted by the Faculty Senate would revise the policy significantly. Although the current policy undoubtedly is not perfect and may well need further revision, I am unable to forward the changes proposed by the Faculty Senate to the Regents. We need to give the matter much more consideration before revising the current policy.

"Because of the importance of the Policy on Outside Employment and Extra Compensation within the University of Oklahoma and some of the problems arising from it, I am asking a special task force to review the current policy, the proposal of the Faculty Senate, and such other ideas as the task force and others may have concerning this matter. The objective will be to provide me with recommendations that ~~may~~ be considered by the Budget Council, the Faculty Senate, my staff, and the University Regents as appropriate. I am asking that the Provost, the Vice President for Continuing Education and Public Service, the Vice Provost for Research, the Chairman of the Budget Council, and the Chairman of the Faculty Senate (or whoever you may wish) serve as the task force. They, of course, may need to call upon others in the University community for information and ideas. Their recommendations will be considered a draft, be only advisory, and be subject to such review by established bodies as ~~may~~ be appropriate.

"I would hope that the work of the task force could be completed early in the fall for such review and action that may be appropriate."

(See pages 9-11 of the Faculty Senate Journal for April 9, 1973.)

JOINT MEETING OF EXECUTIVE COMMITTEES OF THE FACULTY SENATE, OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY, AND THE FACULTY COUNCIL, OKLAHOMA STATE UNIVERSITY

Dr. Wm. Maehl, Jr., Senate Chairman, reported on the April 11, 1973, joint meeting in Norman of the Executive Committees of the Faculty Senate, Oklahoma University, and the Faculty Council, Oklahoma State University. Hosted, in turn, by the Oklahoma University group, this joint meeting followed the precedent set last year of alternating the semester meetings between the two Universities.

The two major items of discussion were (1) collective bargaining and (2) enlarging inter-University exchanges of courses, faculty, and students.

The Stillwater delegation indicated that there was little practical interest there in the question of collective bargaining.

Both groups agreed to recommend to their chief administrative officers further study of possible enlargement of inter-University exchanges. Dr. Maehl reported subsequent encouraging responses at both Universities. Mr. Joseph Ray, Acting Provost of Oklahoma University, has called a meeting of several individuals within a few days to explore possible courses of action in this matter. In response to questions from the floor, Dr. Maehl added that neither deans nor Health Sciences Center Representatives are included in the preliminary study group.

GUIDELINES FOR UNIVERSITY COUNCIL REPORTS TO THE FACULTY SENATE

Dr. Wm. Maehl, Jr., Senate Chairman, informed the Senate that the Senate Executive Committee had recently approved formal guidelines to be followed by Chairmen of University Councils in reporting to the Faculty Senate.

Council reports are to be submitted before the end of the first month of each semester. Copies of the guidelines were distributed to current Council Chairmen and will be distributed to new Chairmen as they are elected.

ELECTION OF FACULTY REPLACEMENTS: University Councils, Faculty Advisory Committee, and Faculty Appeals Board.

Dr. Martin Jischke, Chairman of the Senate Committee on Committees, presented the list of nominees to fill faculty vacancies on various University Councils, the Faculty Advisory Board, and the Faculty Appeals Board, as published on pages 10-13 of the Agenda for this meeting. Additional nominations were made from the floor. Voting by secret, written ballot, the Faculty Senate elected the following faculty replacements for the terms indicated:

<u>Academic Personnel Council</u>	
Helmut Fishbeck (Physics)	1973-76
R. Craig Jerner (CEMS)	1973-76
Charlyce King (Education)	1973-76
<u>Academic Program Council</u>	
Joseph Holland (Journalism)	1973-76
Walter Cullinan (HSC Speech Therapy)	1973-76
Paul Brinker (Economics)	1973-76
Michael Devine (Industrial Engineering)	1973-74
<u>Administrative and Physical Resources Council</u>	
Ancil Payne (Classics)	1973-76
John E. Francis (AMNE)	1973-76
Robert Petry (Physics)	1973-76
Gene Groves (Home Economics)	1973-75
<u>Budget Council</u>	
Patrick Sutherland (Geology/Geophysics)	1973-76
Jan Spurgeon (History)	1973-76
Billie Holcomb (Education)	1973-76
Leon Zelby (Electrical Engineering)	1973-75

Research Council

J. N. Mohanty (Philosophy)	1973-76
Samuel Kirkpatrick (Political Science)	1973-76
Bernard Rabinovitch (HSC)	1973-76
Sam Oleinick (HSC)	1973-74

Faculty Advisory Committee to the President

Mark A. Everett (HSC)	1973-75
Bernard McDonald (Mathematics)	1973-75
Paul Barefield (Speech)	1973-75
Fred Miller (Law)	1973-75
Robert Howard (Physics)	1973-75
Gordon Drummond (History)	1973-75

Faculty Appeals Board

Don Kash (Political Science)	1973-74
------------------------------	---------

NOMINATION OF FACULTY REPLACEMENTS: University Councils, Board,
and Committees

Dr. Martin Jischke, Chairman of the Senate Committee on Committees, presented the list of nominees to fill faculty vacancies on various University Councils, Board, and Committees as published on pages 10-13 of the Agenda for this meeting. Additional nominations were made from the floor. Voting by secret, written ballot, the Senate approved the following nominations to be submitted to Dr. Paul F. Sharp, President of the University, for his selection, for terms indicated below:

Athletics Council:

Dick Van der Helm (Chemistry)	1973-76
B. A. Nugent (Music)	1973-76
Virginia Morris (Physical Ed.)	1973-76
Thomas Carey (Music)	1973-76
Oscar A. Parsons (HSC)	1973-75
Robert Lindeman (HSC)	1973-75

Council on Faculty Awards and Honors:

David Ross Boyd Professorship:	
Joseph Rarick (Law)	1973-76
Lowell Dunham (Modern Lang.)	1973-76

George Lynn Cross Research
Professorship:

Howard Larsh (Botany-Micro.)	1973-76
Tom Love (AMNE)	1973-76

Faculty Member at Large -

Regents' Teaching Award:

Betty J. McClellan (HSC)	1973-74
Jacqueline Coalson (HSC)	1973-74

Unexpired term of Wm. Livezey:

Glenn Snider (Education)	1973-74
George Fraser (Law)	1973-74

Publications Board:

Daniel Gibbons (Law)	1973-74
F. Laverne Carroll (Lib. Science)	1973-74

SCHOLARSHIPS AND AWARDS COMMITTEES

Bass Memorial Scholarship Fund Committee:

Larry Hill (Political Science)	1973-76
John Hodgson (Economics)	1973-76

Danforth Foundation Scholarship Committee:

Frank Seto (Zoology)	1973-76
David Whitney (Sociology)	1973-76
Jack Catlin (Classics)	1973-76
Arnuf Hagen (Chemistry)	1973-76

Rita H. Lottinville Prize for Men Committee:

Donald Perkins (Zoology)	1973-76
James Estes (Botany-Microbiology)	1973-76
Donald Patton (Mathematics)	1973-76
Bruce Granger (English)	1973-76

Rita H. Lottinville Prize for Women Committee:

Helen Patterson (Nursing)	1973-76
Thelma Peterson (Physical Therapy)	1973-76
James Bohland (Geography)	1973-76
Hubert Frings (Zoology)	1973-76
Audrey Bethel (Art)	1973-74
Ralph Jacobsen (Chemistry)	1973-74

Scholarships and Financial Aids Committee:

Osborne Reynolds (Law)	1973-75
Lennie-Marie Tolliver (Social Work)	1973-75
Jean McDonald (Political Science)	1973-75
Roberta Raider (Drama)	1973-75
Dorothy Fritz (English)	1973-75
Michael Chartock (Zoology)	1973-75

Will Rogers Scholarship Committee:

Mary A. Lively (Communication Disorders)	1973-76
Donald Woolf (Management)	1973-76
Katherine Sohler (Biostatistics)	1973-76
Robert Person (Physiology)	1973-76

ACADEMIC SERVICES COMMITTEES

Class Schedule Committee:

David Morgan (Political Science)	1973-77
Claude Duchon (Meteorology)	1973-77
Ruth Donnell (Library)	1973-77
Francis Schmitz (Chemistry)	1973-77

Computer Advisory Committee:

Richard V. Powell, Jr. (Finance)	1973-76
Larry Toothaker (Psychology)	1973-76
Stefan Feyock (ICS)	1973-76
Roger Frech (Chemistry)	1973-76
Jack Fuller (Management)	1973-76
William Graves (Education)	1973-76

University Book Exchange Oversight Committee:

Russell Buhite (History)	1973-76
Antie Talvitte (CEES)	1973-76

Fringe Benefits Committee:

Donald Childress (Finance)	1973-77
John TeSelle (Law)	1973-77

Council on University Libraries:

John Fletcher (Botany)	1973-75
Harley Brown (Zoology)	1973-75
Henry Tobias (History)	1973-75
William Horwitz (Classics)	1973-75
Nat Eek (Drama)	1973-75
George Bogart (Art)	1973-75

LeVerne Hoag (Industrial Engineering)	1973-76
Robert Block (CEMS)	1973-76
Jack Cohn (Physics)	1973-76
Howard Day (Geology/Geophysics)	1973-76
Nelson Nunnally (Geography)	1973-76
Marc Charney (Human Relations)	1973-76

University Educational Television Committee:

Richard Drisko (HSC)	1973-76
James Wood (HSC)	1973-76
David Drennan (Mathematics)	1973-76
Stan Neely (Chemistry)	1973-76

Commencement Committee:

Robert Magarian (Pharmacy)	1973-74
Donald Secrest (Political Science)	1973-74
Bobbie Foote (Industrial Engineering)	1973-74
Rudolph Bambas (English)	1973-74
Ralph Olson (Geography)	1973-74
Harry Fierbaugh (Music)	1973-74
Anthony S. Lis (Business Communication)	1973-74
Neil Huffacker (Physics)	1973-74
Mary Warren (Home Economics)	1973-74
Lenore Clark (Library)	1973-74

Academic Regulations:

Jack Wright (Drama)	1973-77
Victor Youritzin (Art)	1973-77
Rod Evans (Marketing)	1973-77
Jill Kimrey (Business Communication)	1973-77
Robert D. Van Auken (Education)	1973-76
Richard Goff (Zoology)	1973-76
Paul Risser (Botany-Microbiology)	1973-76
Matt Kraynak (Home Economics)	1973-76

PROGRAM ADVISORY COMMITTEES

ROTC Advisory Committee:

Paul Tharp (Political Science)	1973-76
Leonard Haug (Music)	1973-76
William Bittle (Anthropology)	1973-76
Phillip Lehrman (Pharmacy)	1973-76
William Carmack (Speech)	1973-76
Ted Herrick (Accounting)	1973-76

Albert Smouse (Education)	1973-75
Arthur Aldag (CEMS)	1973-75
Richard Maye (AMNE)	1973-75
Harold Huneke (Mathematics)	1973-75
Lennie-Marie Tolliver (Social Work)	1973-75
Joseph Long (Law)	1973-75
Robert Nye (History)	1973-74
Thomas Boyd (Philosophy)	1973-74

Ad Hoc Committee on Women's Inequalities:

William McNichols (Law)
Jeffrey Witwer (AMNE)

Patent Advisory Committee:

Kurt Dubowski (HSC)	1973-74
Leon Ciereszko (Chemistry)	1973-74
Elmer Million (Law)	1973-75
Gerald Tuma (Electrical Engineering)	1973-75
Gail Adams (HSC)	1973-76
David French (English)	1973-76
Robert St. John (Physics)	1973-77
Marion Phillips (Marketing)	1973-77

"W" GRADES

Background Information: On August 10, 1973, Mr. Verner Ekstrom, Assistant Provost of the University, submitted several recommendations for changes in grade regulations (including the "W" grade). An ad hoc Committee of the Senate has been studying this question throughout the current academic year. (See pages 11 and 12 of the Faculty Senate Journal for April 9, 1973.)

Senate Action: In the absence of Dr. James Costello, ad hoc Committee Chairman, Dr. Sherril Christian, a member of that Committee, moved approval of the Committee's revised recommendation as follows:

- (a) No change - as published on page 4 of the Agenda.
- 1st subparagraph: No change.
- "1. If a student withdraws formally from a course during the first two weeks of the semester (the first week of a summer session), no record is made of the registration.
- "2. If a student withdraws formally from a course after the beginning of the third week and before the end of the twelfth week (second and sixth weeks of a summer session), the grade of W will be assigned.
- "3. No change.
- "4. A student who ceases to attend classes or examinations without withdrawing formally will receive a grade of F."
- (b) Replace the second paragraph of the section on Withdrawal from the University under the heading of Registration (University Bulletins) with the following:
- "A student who withdraws formally from all courses"

Professor Wilson B. Prickett raised his objections to the Committee's proposal, particularly concerning items 2 and 4, as "promoting academic mediocrity."

During the discussion Dr. Christian reported a 1969 study by the Office of Admissions and Records that had indicated a total of 1500 WP's and 40 WF's submitted to that office.

Dr. F. Clayton Feaver moved that item 4 of the proposal be amended by the addition of the phrase "or W at the discretion of the instructor." The Senate rejected the amendment.

Subsequently, in a 9 to 18 vote, the Senate also rejected the ad hoc Committee's proposal. Dr. Maehl then commented that, in view of the Senate action at this meeting, the present grade policy will continue in effect.

SABBATICAL LEAVE POLICY

Background Information: Dr. Pete Kyle McCarter, University Provost, on July 3, 1972, forwarded to the Senate Chairman several recommendations of the University Council on Faculty Awards and Honors, including proposed changes in the University sabbatical leave policy. The Senate ad hoc Committee studying this matter reported that the Council was considering additional recommendations regarding sabbatical leaves. Accordingly, the Senate on November 13, 1972, delayed further consideration of this matter pending receipt of additional recommendations from that Council. (See pages 7 and 8 of the Faculty Senate Journal for November 13, 1972.) On February 27, 1973, Dr. William Livezey, Chairman of the University Council on Faculty Awards and Honors, submitted to the Senate proposed changes in the University sabbatical leave policy (see page 6 of the Agenda for the May 7, 1973, Senate meeting). This matter was then referred to another Senate ad hoc Committee consisting of Drs. Tom Smith (History of Science), Chairman, Phillip Colver (Chemical Engineering), Harold Huneke (Mathematics), and Edwin Smith (Surgery, Health Sciences Center). On April 13, 1973, Dr. Smith submitted his Committee's five-page report to the Chairman of the Faculty Senate Committee on Committees. (See page 5 of the Agenda for this meeting of the Senate).

Senate Action: In the absence of the Committee Chairman, Dr. Harold Huneke, member of the ad hoc Committee, moved approval of the following recommendations of that Committee:

1. Sabbatical Leave Statement:

- a. That the following statement (that closely follows excerpts from "Statement of Principles on Leaves of Absence," Winter, 1971, issue of the AAUP Bulletin, pp. 522-23) or its close equivalent be included in the appropriate section of the Faculty Handbook:

"Leaves of absence are among the most important means by which an institution's academic program is strengthened, a faculty member's teaching effectiveness enhanced, and his scholarly usefulness enlarged. The major purpose is to provide opportunity for continued professional growth and new or renewed intellectual achievement through study, research, writing, and travel. A leave may either involve specialized scholarly activity or be designed to provide broad, cultural experience and enlarged perspective."

- b. Further, that the above statement or its close equivalent appear on the sabbatical leave application form (which the applicant will sign and the administrators will use) as an explicit and formal indication to all parties of the type of leave arrangement that is under consideration.

2. Sabbatical Leave Plans:

That the following instruction be included on the sabbatical leave application form to assist the applicant and the University reviewing

authorities in arriving at a mutual understanding of the sabbatical leave enterprise in which the applicant plans to engage:

"Describe in a brief paragraph your sabbatical leave plans."

3. Half-year Sabbatical at Half-Pay:

That the present sabbatical leave policy of the University be made more flexible, at no increase in cost to the University, by instituting the additional alternative of a one-semester (half year) sabbatical at half pay, available after six semesters of full-time service; initial eligibility for this proposed sabbatical would be established after a faculty member's first twelve semesters of full-time service, as is the practice presently in force.

After a brief discussion of this question, the Senate approved the recommendations without dissent.

CONCURRENT MULTIPLE ENROLLMENTS

Background Information: Dr. James Burwell on February 20, 1973, suggested to the Senate Chairman that an ad hoc Committee study the problem of concurrent multiple enrollments that could result in excessive course loads. The Senate Executive Committee shortly thereafter selected the following Committee: Professors James Burwell (Physics), Chairman, Roger Babich (Speech Communication), and W. B. Prickett (Finance), and Mr. Kenneth Anderson (OCCE).

Senate Action: Dr. James Burwell moved acceptance of the following two recommendations of the ad hoc Committee:

- (1). The Committee proposes that the following statement be adopted and that it be inserted in College bulletins and other appropriate publications of the University so that the statement of policy will be readily available to students.

Concurrent enrollment at other institutions and in other programs is not discouraged, provided that:

1. such enrollment is necessary to meet the educational goals of the student,
2. the dean of the college in which the student is enrolled on campus is aware of the off-campus enrollment,
3. the dean of the College in which the student is enrolled on campus agrees that the overall enrollment is necessary, and
4. the overall enrollment falls within the maximum allowable enrollment in that College.

Consequently, the dean of the College in which the student is enrolled on campus must be notified immediately, by the student, of any off-campus enrollment. If the student's overall enrollment exceeds the maximum hours allowable, the dean either will approve the whole enrollment or will approve appropriate withdrawal forms to reduce the student's enrollment to an acceptable level.

Failure of the student to report off-campus concurrent enrollment to the appropriate dean may result in the student's being denied credit toward graduation for the off-campus work.

- (2). The Committee proposes that, at the time of application for graduation, each student sign a copy of the statement:

"I certify that complete transcripts of all academic work I have undertaken at all other institutions is on file

with the Office of Admissions and Records. I am not currently enrolled in any academic institution other than the University of Oklahoma.

During the ensuing discussion, Professor Sarah Crim moved that the second recommendation be deleted. The Senate approved the deletion in a vote of 22 affirmative and 15 negative votes. Voting on the remaining (first) recommendation, the Senate rejected the proposal, 13 to 15.

✓ REAPPOINTMENT CRITERIA: David Ross Boyd Professorship

Background Information: Dr. Wm. E. Livezey, Chairman of the University Council on Faculty Awards and Honors, addressed the following memorandum to the Senate Chairman on February 28, 1973:

The Council on Faculty Awards and Honors has given consideration to the reminder note sent you from last semester's Senate chairman. When the Senate approved new administrative procedures for the David Ross Boyd professorships, the Senate "also voted to recommend that criteria be developed for reappointment to the David Ross Boyd Professorship."

The Council would like to call your attention to the fact that criteria do presently exist. In the introduction to the section of the Distinguished Professorships, we find this statement: "All reappointments to Distinguished Professorships shall be made according to the same procedures as the original appointments." (3.10)

The Council at first was inclined to dismiss the question with this information. Upon further reflection, we decided we would be taking the easy way out and actually shirking our responsibilities if we did not state our complete dissatisfaction with the present criteria. Admittedly our experience as members of this Council has been limited but most of us have had some little association in one capacity or another with these Professorships for several years. We supplemented our own knowledge with views from certain individuals, in and out of administration, who have had close contact with the Boyd Professorships for many years.

Upon the basis of this composite information, we recommend that the statement quoted in the second paragraph above be stricken from the Faculty Handbook and also the last sentence under the heading of David Ross Boyd Professorship which reads: "His appointment is for five years and is subject to reappointment upon recommendation of the President to the Regents." (3.10.1) We further recommend that in this section just cited, the following statement be included: "The tenure of a David Ross Boyd Professor is continuous until his retirement from the faculty."

Several basic considerations have entered into our decision to submit these recommendations:

In the first place, from point of logic, the Council can find no convincing reasons for distinguishing between the Boyd Professorship and the Cross Research Professorship where one is subject to reappointment and the other is not. (In passing, it might be noted that the Regents Professorship is also continuous but the canons for this professorship are so different that there is no basis for comparison with the other two Distinguished Professorships. Incidentally, growing out of these canons no person has been appointed Regents Professor thus far who was not past 60 years in age.)

In the second place, from point of practice, two observations should be made. The David Ross Boyd Professorship was established in 1945 and no reappointment has ever been denied; moreover, the Council is not aware of any instance where the department, the dean or the President ever seriously questioned a reappointment. This sort of record suggests that our reappointment reviews are handled in a perfunctory manner.

Third, and once again from point of practice, the gathering of supportive documentation in recent years has become increasingly great, one may say even massive. The new regulations recently adopted are designed to bring greater comparability in the information submitted. To continue to follow "the same procedures as the original appointments" becomes patently foolish.

Finally, the Council also raised the question as to what action might follow if a reappointment were to be denied. The perquisites of this Professorship are the honors obviously attached to the recognition plus a modest initial increase in salary. Little would be accomplished in taking away the title and the Council members individually expressed opposition to this possibility. A cut in salary without change of assignment is universally unwelcome.

Should the recommendation for continuous tenure be adopted, some action would need to be taken to move present Boyd Professors to the new status. Presumably the change would become effective on adoption by the Regents; as reappointments arise those professors could, without review, simply be declared to have continuous appointments as Boyd Professors. This matter might best be viewed as a subject for administrative convenience and left to the President's Office to implement. At least, the Council does not consider this administrative procedure as one calling for a recommendation on its part.

For the foregoing reasons, the Council believes these recommendations relative to the David Ross Boyd Professorship are sound and requests your careful consideration.

On March 1, 1973, the Senate Executive Committee selected the following ad hoc Committee to study the above recommendations: Professors Tom Wiggins (Education), Chairman, Bruce Granger (English), and Fred Miller (Law).

Senate Action: In the absence of the Committee Chairman, Professor Fred Miller, a member of the ad hoc Committee moved acceptance of the following report of that Committee, dated April 20, 1973:

The Ad Hoc Committee has given consideration to the proposed changes in the reappointment criteria for the David Ross Boyd Professorship as outlined in the 28 February memo of the Council on Faculty Awards and Honors. The Ad Hoc Committee supports the proposed changes with the following reservations:

- A limited survey of University faculty members indicates a division of opinion regarding the 28 February recommendation
- Incentives in the form of faculty awards and honors for younger (ages 25-40) faculty members are greatly limited at the University of Oklahoma. Placing the Boyd Professorship on a "continuous until retirement" basis suggests less sharing of these Professorships among deserving faculty members, particularly younger faculty members.
- The entire system of awards and honors needs a critical review in relation to current needs and problems.
- The statement suggested in the 28 February memo, "The tenure of a David Ross Boyd Professorship is continuous until his retirement from the faculty," should be considered as more realistic than the current statement regarding the Cross and Regents Professorships, i.e., ". . . is continuous until he reaches statutory retirement age." The Ad Hoc Committee failed to see the rationale behind ". . . statutory retirement age," as compared to ". . . retirement age."

- If faculty awards and honors are intended to shape and encourage faculty performance, the awards and honors should be contingent upon verifiable continued performance and should be shared among as many deserving faculty members as resources allow. Making the Boyd Professorship non-renewable assumes continued performance and delimits the likelihood of wider faculty participation.

In summary, the Ad Hoc Committee concurs with the council on Faculty Awards and Honors regarding reappointment criteria for the Boyd Professorship. However, the spirit of the 28 February memo seems to be more an avoidance of rather than a solution to the problem, i.e., the Senate vote ". . .to recommend that criteria be developed for reappointment to the David Ross Boyd Professorship" (see paragraph 1 of 28 February memo). Avoidance of the problem by eliminating reappointment is perhaps the only reasonable current alternative and certainly more desirable than the current practices cited in the 28 February memo associated with reappointment (see "Several basic considerations. . ." of the 28 February memo). In view of these considerations the Ad Hoc Committee recommends that a high priority be given to a study of the entire system of faculty awards and honors.

Professor Miller added that, in his opinion, the whole area of faculty awards and honors could and should come under greater scrutiny. Without further discussion and with one dissenting vote, the Senate thus approved the proposal to make appointments to the David Ross Boyd Professorship permanent until retirement by deleting two statements and adding one statement in the Faculty Handbook (Sections 3.10 and 31.10.1).

Dr. Chipman Stuart then moved that the high-priority study of the entire system of faculty awards and honors be instituted as recommended in the final sentence of the Committee report and that Dr. Thomas Wiggins be retained as the Chairman of the new ad hoc Committee to be selected by the Executive Committee of the Faculty Senate. Again, without discussion and with one dissenting vote, the Senate approved the proposal to study the faculty awards and honors system.

PROPOSAL FOR UNIVERSITY-WIDE PROCEDURES FOR HANDLING MISCONDUCT IN ACADEMIC MATTERS

Background Information: The Dean of the College of Arts and Sciences, on March 9, 1973, resubmitted the recommendation made by that College a few years ago (but apparently never brought to the attention of the Senate) that the Faculty Senate consider University-wide adoption of that College's procedures to be used in cases involving misconduct in academic matters. On March 15, 1973, the Executive Committee of the Senate selected the following ad hoc Committee to study the proposal: Professors Davis M. Egle (AMNE), Homer Brown (Accounting), M. Buchwald (Drama), and George Letchworth (Education). The Committee report, dated May 3, 1973, was distributed at this meeting and is reproduced below:

In response to your request of March 19 this committee undertook the evaluation of the College of Arts and Sciences proposal for procedures to be used in cases involving misconduct in academic affairs. The procedure as presented to us was unworkable in that it made use of the "University Student Conduct Committee," a group no longer in existence. We took the liberty of substituting the Academic Appeals Board for the function of this committee in order to evaluate the concept of uniform University-wide procedure and the specific details of the Arts and Sciences proposal.

In evaluating the proposal we contacted Deans or Assistant Deans in the Colleges of Law, Engineering, Business, Fine Arts, Education, Environmental Design, Liberal Studies, and Pharmacy, as well as the Graduate and University Colleges. There was not strong support for

but several reactions against the idea of a University-wide procedure. Many of the college representatives felt that the procedures they now are using were similar to the A & S proposal but wanted to retain the flexibility of modifying their procedures within the college. On the basis of the response we received, the committee recommends that the proposed A & S procedure not be considered for University-wide adoption.

In reviewing the procedures now used for misconduct in academic matters, we discovered a conflict between the recommendations of the Faculty Handbook, paragraph 4.12 and the Student Code which the Faculty Senate should be made aware. The Faculty Handbook states that "the instructor is free to handle cheating cases at his own discretion but within the following limits..." The implication of Sections XI-A and XVI of the Student Code are:

- 1) the instructor has no authority to prescribe or administer any penalty.
- 2) Cases involving alleged cheating must be tried in the University Judicial system by either (a) the Administrative Judicial Branch, specifically the Director of Student Development, or (b) the Student Judicial Branch, i.e. the Student Superior Court. The choice (a) or (b) is at the student's option.
- 3) If the student chooses (b), the UOSA Attorney General is responsible for presenting the case and may elect not to do so if he feels the evidence is insufficient.
- 4) In either (a) or (b), the student has the right to appeal to the University Judicial Tribunal.

The committee further recommends that the Senate take steps to resolve this conflict by formulating cheating guidelines which would guarantee student rights (the right to be informed as what constitutes cheating, the right of appeal, etc.) yet leave the specific procedure to the discretion of the College.

Senate Action: Dr. Martin Jischke moved approval of the above report of the ad hoc Committee. The Senate approved the motion without dissent and thus rejected the proposal to adopt University-wide procedures for handling academic misconduct cases. Professor Forrest L. Frueh then moved that the Senate Chairman appoint an ad hoc Committee to resolve the conflict mentioned in the third and fourth paragraphs of the report, between the Faculty Handbook and the Student Code regarding the handling of cheating cases. Committee membership will include student members without voting privileges. The Senate approved the motion without dissent. During the discussion of this question, several faculty members pointed to the need for a "superior" document such as the University Constitution that had been proposed a few years ago and also suggested that appropriate inquiry be made as to the current status of the proposed document.

RE-ELECTION OF SENATE SECRETARY, 1973-74

In accepting Dr. Harold Huneke's motion, the Senate re-elected by acclamation Dr. Anthony S. Lis (Business Communication) as Secretary of the Faculty Senate for 1973-74.

SENATE RESOLUTION: Merrick Foundation Grant

In accepting Dr. Martin Jischke's motion the Faculty Senate approved without dissent the following resolution proposed by its Executive Committee on April 26, 1973:

"BE IT RESOLVED that the Faculty Senate of the University of Oklahoma, with great pleasure, express its sincere appreciation to the Merrick

Foundation of Ardmore, Oklahoma, for its recent grant of \$750,000 for the purpose of endowing a chair in western American History at the University of Oklahoma."

NORMAN MAYOR'S PROPOSED ADVISORY COUNCIL ON THE UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY

Background Information: Dr. Wm. Maehl, Jr. Senate Chairman, reported the recent request of the Mayor of Norman for Senate participation in discussions with the Student Association and the Employee Council concerning participation in a proposed Mayor's Advisory Council on the University Community. Dr. T. H. Milby was requested by the Senate Executive Committee to represent the Senate in the preliminary discussions.

Senate Action: Dr. T. H. Milby moved Senate approval of the following resolution that resulted from the preliminary discussions mentioned above:

A RESOLUTION EXPRESSING THE CONCURRENCE OF
THE CITY COUNCIL IN THE MAYOR'S INTENT TO
FORM A MAYOR'S ADVISORY COUNCIL ON
THE UNIVERSITY COMMUNITY

1. Whereas, issues come before the Mayor and Council of the City of Norman, Oklahoma, which have both direct and indirect consequences for the University of Oklahoma Community, and
2. Whereas, while Resolution 7172-26 established the Mayor's Advisory Council on Student Affairs, the Mayor now wishes to amend that resolution to extend the formal lines of communication between students, faculty, and employees of the University Community and the Mayor and Council of the City of Norman, Oklahoma, and
3. Whereas, the Mayor considers it desirable to develop advisory mechanisms through which he may be apprised of University Community opinions on matters affecting the quality of their living in Norman, Oklahoma, and
4. Whereas, the Mayor desires to meet regularly with said Advisory Council on matters of concern to the Community of the University of Oklahoma, and
5. Whereas, the Mayor understands that said Advisory Council shall consist of ten (10) members of the Community of the University of Oklahoma consisting of representatives from:

The Student Body	5
The Faculty	2
The Employee Executive Council	2
The Office of the President	1

The President of the University shall appoint his representative with remaining members appointed by the Mayor from lists submitted to the Mayor through the normal appointive processes of the constituent bodies, (University of Oklahoma Student Association, Faculty Senate, and Employee Executive Council.), and

6. Whereas, the Mayor understands that the terms of the appointive members shall commence September 1, 1973, for one year terms with appointees being eligible for reappointment at the discretion of the Mayor, and
7. Whereas, the Mayor understands that the Advisory Council:
 - a. Shall elect a chairman and Secretary from among its members for a term of one year, such officers to be eligible for re-election;

- b. Chairman may, with the approval of the Council, appoint such members or committees as may be necessary to carry out the responsibilities of the Council;
 - c. Shall adopt such rules and regulations for its own organization, operations and procedures as the Board shall deem necessary to carry out its responsibilities;
 - d. Shall hold monthly meetings, but may meet more often. Six (6) members of the Council shall constitute a quorum and shall be required to take any official or formal action, and
8. Whereas, the Council shall be charged to:
- a. Prepare and recommend to the Mayor, from time to time, plans and recommendations for specific improvements in the relationships between the citizens of the University Community and the City of Norman; and
 - b. Have public meetings for the discussion or relations between the citizens of the University Community and the City of Norman, and
9. Whereas, the Council concurs in the Mayor's intent to form a Mayor's Advisory Council on the University Community, and with the need for establishing direct lines of communication with the Community of the University of Oklahoma, and
10. NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF NORMAN, OKLAHOMA:
- That the Council of the City of Norman, Oklahoma, does hereby express its concurrence in the Mayor's intent to amend Resolution 7172-26 so as to provide for the formation of a Mayor's Advisory Council on the University Community.

The Senate approved the resolution without opposition. Professor David Swank then moved that the Senate Executive Committee be authorized to select the two faculty representatives during the summer to commence their terms whenever the entire Advisory Council is subsequently selected. This motion was also approved by the Senate without dissent.

ADJOURNMENT

The Faculty Senate adjourned at 5:20 p.m. The next regular meeting of the Faculty Senate will be held at 3:30 p.m., on Monday, September 10, 1973, in Room 218, Dale Hall. Items for the Agenda should reach the Secretary of the Faculty Senate, Box 456, Central Mail Service, Norman campus, no later than Wednesday, August 29, 1973.

Anthony S. Lis
Secretary