## Norman Campus Research Council Faculty Investment Program Proposal Review Form

In reviewing this proposal, you agree to abide by the confidentiality rules of the Research Council.

Date of Review: Applicant/Project Title: **Proposal Checklist:** Signatures: Course Release letters (if required): Current/prior Council support:

Narrative: Budget explanation/itemization: CV: Special Docs: Signatures: **Review:** The FIP guidelines provide guidance to investigators as to the review criteria. Below is a table to provide guidance to reviewers as to how to review and score the proposal. For each review criterion, rate the quality of how well each was addressed in the proposal with a score from 1 (highly meritorious) to 5 (not competitive). In addition, provide comments for each criterion. Investigators need quality feedback, so reviewers are asked to comment on EACH criterion. Finally, reviewers are asked to provide overall comments on the proposal. **Comments: Criterion:** Score: A. Intellectual Merit B. Technical or Creative Approach and Originality C. Impact on the Field of Scholarship (Potential for Success and Originality) D. Potential for Impact on the Investigator's(s') Scholarly Program E. Qualifications and (if appropriate) Collaborative History of the Investigator(s) F. Appropriateness of Budget and Justification of Resources Requested Provide overall comments on the proposal: Rating: Highly Meritorious (1) \_\_\_\_\_ Meritorious (2) \_\_\_\_\_ Needs Minor Revisions (3) \_\_\_\_\_ Needs Major Revisions (4) \_\_\_\_\_ Not Competitive (5) \_\_\_\_\_ Recommendation: \_\_\_ Fund \_\_\_\_ Do Not Fund \_\_\_\_ Unable to rate (explain below) Reviewer Name: