UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION FALL 2026 ELECTION REPORT

Andy Wang, SGA Election Commissioner

Election Commission Staff: Larkin Bock, Corwin Campbell, Christine Hill, Andi Horton, Spencer Wright, Olivia Matlock (ex officio)

Pursuant to SGACA Tit. VI Ch. 5 § (8), the following report details the results of the Fall 2026 SGA Elections. Voting for this election took place from October 29th, 8:00 AM to October 30th, 8:00 PM.

Projected winners of each race are **bolded** and indicated by an ***;** *note that percentages may not add to 100% due to rounding*

The Election Commission received a total of **four (4)** infraction complaints.

- *Three (3) were heard as formal complaints.*
- *One (1) was considered as an unofficial notification.*

Additionally, the Election Commission considered the **expulsion of one (1) candidate.**

- *Candidate Joshua Lor for Pre-Health Sciences Representative was DISQUALIFIED due to accruing five (5) infraction points and blatantly disregarding Election procedures.* ***See FA26-004 (pg. 5)***

SGA Constitutional Referendums

Revision of SGA President/Vice President Starting Term

***YES**	NO
1950, 74.88%	73, 2.80%

Prefer not to vote: 581, 22.32%

SGA President/Vice President

2 tickets

***Kendell Coppinger and Tyler Harbin**	**1418, 54.45%**
Jada Cannon and Reese Walsh	1069, 41.05%
Prefer not to vote	*117, 4.49%*

Undergraduate Student Congress Representatives:

Architecture District

1 candidate, 1 open seat

***Evan Pfeffer**	**64, 72.73%**
No Candidate	18, 20.45%
Prefer not to vote	*6, 6.82%*

Arts and Sciences District:

14 candidates, 8 open seats

***Keira Adkisson**	**244, 8.51%**
***Ava Carter**	**228, 7.96%**
***Alex Toyos-Perez**	**218, 7.61%**
***Madilynn Sneller**	**202, 7.05%**
***Carson Veenstra**	**186, 6.59%**
***Graham Harris**	**161, 5.62%**
***Jayden Bass**	**152, 5.30%**
***Sitara Popal**	**150, 5.23%**
Lilith Thompson	148, 5.16%
Aaron John	146, 5.09%
Zayd Taariq	145, 5.08%
Sam Bregnard	137, 4.78%
Max Frehde	135, 4.70%
Philip Joseph	132, 4.60%
Prefer not to vote	*480, 16.77%*

Business District:

8 candidates, 5 open seats

***Ian Cox**	**259, 12.26%**
***Bennet Morris**	**223, 10.56%**
***Jackson Graham**	**216, 10.23%**
***Naomi Cerrito**	**206, 9.75%**
***Kross Brown**	**184, 8.71%**
Aysel Samadi	148, 7.01%
Naren Pullela	137, 6.47%
Lungiselwe Dlamini	120, 5.68%
Prefer not to vote	*619, 29.31%*

Engineering District:

7 candidates, 3 open seats

***Daniel Park**	**74, 19.58%**
***Hannah Xiu**	**74, 19.58%**
***Mecca Fischer**	**68, 17.99%**
Josh Sterling	34, 8.99%
Gabriel Frost	21, 5.56%
Thaufin Altaf	16, 4.23%
Akira Svast Tokuda	16, 4.23%
Prefer not to vote	*75, 19.84%*

Fine Arts District

1 candidate, 1 open seat

***Judah Brown**	**48, 81.36%**
No Candidate	2, 3.39%
Prefer not to vote	*9, 15.25%*

Journalism and Mass Communications District:

2 candidates, 2 open seats

***Sara Robinson**	**95, 35.31%**
***Gianna Zwanziger**	**84, 31.23%**
No Candidate	6, 2.523%
Prefer not to vote	*84, 31.23%*

Pre-Health Sciences District:

1 candidate, 3 open seats

~~***Joshua Lor**~~	~~**170, 59.86%**~~
No Candidate	9, 3.17%
Prefer not to vote	*105, 36.97%*

Additional Information

The **Exploratory** district has one (1) open seat. No candidates filed for that election, so no ballots were created.

Candidate **Joshua Lor** was expelled for blatantly disregarding election procedures, leaving no candidates for the **Pre-Health Sciences** district. An automatic appeal per the SGACA to the Superior Court is pending.

An unofficial petition was seen by the commission. Candidate Jada Cannon alleged election fraud by the Coppinger-Harbin campaign, and after a review of the SGACA, the Commission determined that all allegations of fraud are under the jurisdiction of the SGA General Counsel. The candidates were instructed to reach out to them instead, and the unofficial petition was not escalated.

As of the time of this report, candidates Aaron John, Thaufin Altaf, and Gianna Zwanziger have not submitted financial reports in good form.

SGA Election Commission
Expulsion of Candidate Joshua Lor
Decided October 31, 2026

Holding

4-0 against the Candidate
Candidate is hereby **EXPELLED** from the Fall 2026 SGA Elections

Facts of the Case

Candidate Joshua Lor filed to run for Pre-Health Sciences Representative in the SGA Fall 2026 Elections, which was uncontested. Candidate Lor won the election with 59.86% of the vote.

Over the course of the election season, Lor incurred two infractions: **One Class A Infraction** *(FA26-001)* for failing to attend a candidate meeting, and one **Class B Infraction** *(FA26-003)* for failing to submit financial forms by the deadline specified by the Commissioner. This totals five (5) infraction points, which is grounds for expulsion per Title VI, Chapter 5, Section 4(a). Furthermore, Section 4(d) allows a candidate to be expelled for contemptuous failure to adhere to election rulings and procedures. According to the Commissioner's records, Lor has never responded to any inquiries from the Commission regarding any infraction rulings and proceedings or regular communications over the course of the election.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that, given the evidence presented, the candidate has clearly met the criteria outlined above. The candidate's apparent apathy towards election procedures and rulings and the excessive infractions accumulated qualify him for expulsion. The Election Commission believes it is unlikely that the candidate can fairly and in good faith represent the wishes of his constituents. Therefore, expulsion is appropriate.

It is so ordered.

INFRACTION REPORTS

SGA Presidential Tickets

SGA Election Commission
Petition by Election Commissioner Andy Wang
FA26 - 002 | Decided October, 24 2026

Holdings

4-0 against the Candidates regarding a Class A infraction.
Candidates received 2.5 points.

4-0 for the Candidates regarding a Class C infraction.
Candidates received 0 points.

4-0 against the Candidates regarding specific procedures for unauthorized posts.
Candidates ordered to take down designated Instagram posts, to be resubmitted to the Campaign Material Registration form for approval and informed of the consequences for further infractions as outlined in the SGACA.

Facts of the Petition

On October 22nd at 5:41 PM, the Election Commission received an anonymous unofficial petition alleging that the Cannon-Walsh presidential campaign had featured an OU logo in an Instagram post. Upon review of the screenshots provided and confirmation by the Commissioner, the report was escalated into a proper infraction petition. The Instagram posts pictured Jacob Henry, an OU athlete, in his football uniform along with an endorsement statement. The photograph featured an OU logo on the tongue of shoe, as well as the SEC logo in the center of the jersey and the "SOONERS" phrase in OU-trademarked print. Furthermore, according to the Election Commissioner's records, the Instagram account's posts were never submitted for authorization for the Campaign Materials Registration form. The Commission also did not see any "Approved by" statement on the materials, which is grounds for a Class C infraction.

Statement by the Accused

The Cannon-Walsh Campaign submitted a written defense that was taken into consideration by the commission. Please see the associated PDF, *"Infraction Defense – Cannon-Walsh FA26-002"*.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that, given the evidence presented, a clear Class A infraction was committed per Title VI, Chapter VI-4, Section 5(a)(v). The Candidates displayed good faith and presented mitigating factors that the Commission found reasonable; therefore, 2.5 points are appropriate and the associated post was marked for immediate removal.

Regarding the Class C infraction for missing "approved by" statements, Candidate Reese Walsh (who attended infraction proceedings) clarified that the post descriptions contained this statement. Given the inherently conjoined nature of social media graphics and their associated posts, the Commission reasoned that this was appropriate. No infraction points were assigned.

Regarding unauthorized posting of campaign materials which were not submitted to Campaign Material Registration, the SGACA states in Title VI, Chapter VI-4, Section 1 states: "Failure to complete the campaign material registration form that is provided by the Elections Commissioner will disallow candidates from all but oral campaigning and the Information on Candidates Booklet." After significant deliberation regarding the intent of the language and the general spirit of the SGA Elections, the Commission reasoned that an immediate ban on non-oral campaigning was excessive given the scope of direct/indirect damages resulting from the unauthorized posts, and the fact that a reasonable remedy was possible via the immediate removal and resubmission of the aforementioned posts. The Cannon-Walsh campaign were instructed to remove the designated posts and resubmit them for approval, and were warned that further infractions by the campaign would result in the maximum punishment allowed under the SGACA.

It is so ordered.

INFRACTION REPORTS

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS REPRESENTATIVES

SGA Election Commission
Petition by Election Commissioner Andy Wang
FA26 – 001 | Decided October 20th 2026

Holding

4-0 to the Candidate Joshua Lor
Candidate received 3 point(s)

Facts of the Petition

FA26-001 concerns candidate Joshua Lor. According to the Election Commissioner's records, Lor failed to attend a required candidate meeting, nor did he contact the Commissioner to make alternative arrangements despite receiving due notice via email. Per the SGA Code Annotated, Chapter VI-4, subsection 5(a)(iv), failure to attend a candidate meeting is a Class A infraction.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that, given the evidence presented, this was a clear Class A infraction. The Commissioner's records confirm that the candidate had not communicated since filing and had not responded to the Commissioner's request to make alternative arrangements. Given the importance of the mandatory candidate meetings to the integrity of the elections, the maximum penalty of 3 points was deemed appropriate.

It is so ordered.

SGA Election Commission
Petition by Election Commissioner Andy Wang
FA26-003 | Decided October 31, 2026

Holding

4-0 against Candidates Altaf, John, and Lor
Candidates received 2 points

4-0 against Candidates Sneller and Zwanziger
Candidates received 1 point

Facts of the Petition

FA26-003 was filed by Election Commissioner Wang on October 29th. Per the Commissioner's records, Candidates Aaron John, Madilynn Sneller, Thaufin Altaf, Gianna Zwanziger, and Joshua Lor failed to submit financial forms in good form by the deadline specified by the Commissioner, which was October 28th at 10:00 PM. Candidates John, Altaf, and Lor did not communicate or respond to the Commission in any way as of the writing of this ruling.

Statements by the Accused

Sneller acknowledged that she had yet to make the necessary revisions to the form despite former communications to do so, as she had prioritized an academic exam that was coming up in the morning, and assured the commission that she would get that fixed as soon as possible. The Commissioner can confirm the candidate had reached out for prior to the infraction notice, and had simply not made the revisions yet.

Zwanziger stated that she did not spend any money or get any donations and was under the false impression she did not need to submit financial reports. Zwanziger did reach out to the Commissioner, but still has pending revisions regarding signatures on both the Expense and Donation forms.

Discussion

The Election Commission reasoned that, given the evidence presented, clear Class B infractions were committed. For candidates Altaf, John, and Lor, the lack of communication or attempts to rectify the situation are grounds for the maximum penalty. Since Sneller and Zwanziger had actively maintained communication and have affirmed their intent to get their forms submitted as soon as possible, the Commission believes the minimum penalty is appropriate.

It is so ordered.

MINUTES

SGA Election Committee | FALL 2026
INFRACTION PROCEEDINGS
10/20/2026 | 6:00 PM

Call to Order – 6:05 PM
Agenda
The following items shall be considered by the election commission:
Infraction procedures against Candidates

1. The Election Commissioner shall explain to the committee the nature of the infraction and all relevant facts to their knowledge at the time of this meeting.

2. The party accused of the infraction, if present, will be given the opportunity to express their own perspective pertaining to the current situation.

3. The committee shall determine what punishment, if any, is appropriately suited to the infraction. **The committee shall consider the following criteria in making their decision:**
    a. The severity of the infraction
    b. The facts of the case
    c. The language of the SGA Code Annotated
    d. The conduct of the accused
    e. Any other factors the committee deems necessary

4. Following this consideration, the committee shall vote on the proposed course of action.

Infraction FA26-001

Wang: Hi all, today we are seeing our first infraction of the semester. Joshua Lor did not attend a mandatory candidate meeting, even though he affirmed on the filing form that he would or otherwise make alternative arrangements with me. I have received no communication from this candidate thus far, even after emailing him to ask for a scheduled meeting. I am recommending a full 3 points for this infraction.

Bock: I concur, especially given how they lacked any communication.

Horton: I think it's really telling of their general attitude towards this election too, which is unfortunate.

Campbell: We may want to consider whether we want to provide extra incentive for candidates to show up to these mandatory meetings, maybe additional punitive action?

Wang: We can look into that later in future meetings, could be something to keep in mind.

Adjourn – 6:26 PM

Attended By:

Election Commissioner Andy Wang
Corwin Campbell
Andi Horton
Larkin Bock

SGA Election Committee | FALL 2026
INFRACTION PROCEEDINGS
10/24/2026 | 4:30 PM

Call to Order – 4:33 PM
Agenda
The following items shall be considered by the election commission:

<u>Infraction procedures against Candidates</u>

1. The Election Commissioner shall explain to the committee the nature of the infraction and all relevant facts to their knowledge at the time of this meeting.

2. The party accused of the infraction, if present, will be given the opportunity to express their own perspective pertaining to the current situation.

3. The committee shall determine what punishment, if any, is appropriately suited to the infraction. **The committee shall consider the following criteria in making their decision:**
    a. The severity of the infraction
    b. The facts of the case
    c. The language of the SGA Code Annotated
    d. The conduct of the accused
    e. Any other factors the committee deems necessary

4. Following this consideration, the committee shall vote on the proposed course of action.

FA26 – 002

Wang: This petition concerns the Cannon-Walsh Presidential Campaign and a post made on their campaign Instagram. I received an anonymous petition Thursday evening about it, specifically how it incorporates OU logos and trademarks. It features a member of OU athletics endorsing the candidates in "uniform", with an OU logo visible on the shoe tongue and the SEC logo in the center of the jersey. Furthermore, this post was never submitted for approval on the campaign material registration form, nor does it include an "approved by" statement. These are Class A and Class C infractions respectively, in addition to the possibility of an additional Class A infraction if we interpret this as a university employee campaigning while "on duty." The candidates provided a great written defense, which outlines a lot of what we will discuss during this meeting.

Wang: Let's start with the class A infraction. The statement by Cannon-Walsh states that Jacob Henry, the OU athlete pictured with the OU trademark in the Instagram post, had selected the image themselves and claimed that this could be a mitigating factor. Per the code annotated, Title VI, Chapter 4, Section 5, candidates are held liable for any actions of any agent or volunteer of their campaign. By selecting this picture for endorsement, Jacob Henry became a volunteer for the Cannon-Walsh campaign; furthermore, a member of the campaign must have been responsible for posting the image on Instagram. This is a clear Class A infraction.

Walsh: We also want to bring attention to the fact that we tried our best to select a photo that featured minimal OU imagery and we used a blue bar to cover the logo at the bottom on the shoes.

Wang: I appreciate your efforts, but it does not change the facts of the infraction. I recommend we assign 2.5 points, accounting for the lack of malicious intent by the candidates and their cooperation thus far.

A vote was taken on the class A infraction. Unanimous approval for 2.5 points.

Wang: We must now discuss the lack of authorization for these campaign materials. The code annotated states in Title VI, Chapter 4, Section 1 that "Failure to complete the campaign material registration form that is provided by the Elections Commissioner will disallow candidates from all but oral campaigning and the Information on Candidates Booklet." I have thought at length about how best to proceed in light of recent events, and I have come to the following conclusion. I believe that, while the fact that unauthorized posts have been made over the course of four days (as confirmed by Walsh) on the Instagram account should not be taken lightly, an outright ban on all non-oral campaign activities going forwards is excessive. There is over a week left in the campaigning period, and the candidates have given me no reason to believe they have acted to intentionally circumvent election procedure. A honest mistake is a mistake nonetheless, however, and the fairness of the elections needs to be preserved. I recommend the following action be taken by the Cannon-Walsh campaign: They are to immediately remove unauthorized posts, which we will designate, and resubmit them for prompt approval before reuploading them. Furthermore, the candidates are informed that any further violation of campaign policies by them or their campaign team will result in the maximum penalties enforceable by the SGACA, including consideration for expulsion.

Walsh: I would like to clarify if we also need to register campaign material posted on personal accounts that are not affiliated with our official election Instagram.

Wang: It's the intent of the content, not the location or medium, that really matters. If it is for campaigning, you must submit them to the form.

A vote was taken to compel the candidates to remove designated unauthorized posts, resubmit them through the campaign materials registration form, and to properly adhere to all election policies from here on out. The candidates were reminded that failure to do so would result in the imposition of further penalties to the maximum extent of the code annotated. Unanimous approval to proceed as outlined before.

Wang: The last item we have here for these proceedings is a Class C infraction regarding the lack of "approved by" statements on the Instagram.

Walsh: We did put statements there, in the description of the posts.

Wang: Oh, I did not know this. Can someone confirm that this is true?

Wright: I am looking at the posts now, and I can confirm that "Approved by" statements are present in the post descriptions.

Wang: I see. The original intent of the "Approved by" statement was likely to have readily distinguishable marks for recognition with printed media. I believe it is acceptable for social media posts to have such a phrase in the description, since it is inherently understood that a post and its accompanying description are two parts of the same item.

A vote was taken on the class C infraction. Unanimous approval to assign 0 infractions points.

Adjourn – 4:57pm

<u>Attended By:</u>
Election Commissioner Andy Wang
Scribe Corwin Campbell
Reese Walsh - Campaign representative
Andi Horton
Christine Hill
Spencer Wright

SGA Election Committee | FALL 2026
General Election Meeting
October 31st | 1:30 PM

Call to Order – 1:30 PM
Agenda
The following items shall be considered by the election commission:

<u>Infraction procedures against Candidates</u>

5. The Election Commissioner shall explain to the committee the nature of the infraction and all relevant facts to their knowledge at the time of this meeting.

6. The party accused of the infraction, if present, will be given the opportunity to express their own perspective pertaining to the current situation.

7. The committee shall determine what punishment, if any, is appropriately suited to the infraction. **The committee shall consider the following criteria in making their decision:**
    a. The severity of the infraction
    b. The facts of the case
    c. The language of the SGA Code Annotated
    d. The conduct of the accused
    e. Any other factors the committee deems necessary

8. Following this consideration, the committee shall vote on the proposed course of action.

FA26-003

Wang: This infraction meeting concerns five candidates who did not submit their financials in good form by the deadline communicated to them. Aaron John and Thaufin Altaf did not win their elections, while Madilynn Sneller, Gianna Zwanziger, and Joshua Lor did win their election. This is a Class B Infraction, which carries a maximum of two points.

Wang: I recommend that Aaron John, Thaufin Altaf, and Joshua Lor be given the maximum penalty if two points. I never received any communications from these candidates, including the many reminders I sent out regarding forms and the notification of infractions. Sneller and Zwanziger were notified, and they both reached out with the intent to fix their forms. I can confirm I have since received corrected or nearly-corrected copies of their financials. I recommend the minimum penalty of one point.

Vote was taken. Results of it are as follows: unanimous approval.

Expulsion of Joshua Lor

Wang: Candidate Joshua Lor has never reached out or responded to any communications throughout this entire election season, including his notice for failing to attend a mandatory meeting and failing to submit his financials. He ran uncontested in the Pre-Health Sciences district. The SGACA states that we can vote to expel a candidate if they blatantly disregard elections rules and procedures, which Lor has demonstrated via his conduct. Someone who is so apathetic to the basic systems of elections is not someone who can adequately represent the wishes of their constituents. I am moving to expel the candidate from this election.

Vote was taken. Result are as follows: unanimous approval.

Official Election Report and Results

Wang: The election report is simply the results joined with the minutes (including this one) and rulings of the Election Commission. After I have written the rulings for the proceedings from today, the report will be sent to the SGA President, Chairs of Congress and the Graduate Senate,

Superior Court, General Counsel, and OU Daily. Given how expulsions are handled, we may see some delays in this election cycle for the validation of election results. I will of course keep everyone updated throughout.

Wang: I also need to correct a typo for the Arts and Sciences District results. Candidate Sitara Popal, with 150 votes, received 5.20% of the vote, not 5.63%. We now need to vote on whether to certify these results and proceed as outlined regarding the report.

Vote was taken. Result are as follows: unanimous approval.

Wang: A note as well regarding the "Prefer not to vote" options. We had an unprecedented number of abstentions this election, though it isn't certain how much of this is due to voter error. Some people may have decided to click "Prefer not to vote", then cast up the seven more ballots for other candidates anyways. The right to a secret ballot cannot be infringed, so there's no way to know for certain. In any case, this will not affect the election results as the contested districts have clear winners, and "Prefer not to vote" does not specifically negate any number of candidates. Just an interesting observation on my part.

Adjourn – 1:52PM

<u>Attended By:</u>
Election Commissioner Andy Wang
Scribe Corwin Campbell
Spencer Wright
Larkin Bock