

# THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION



## UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

Session CXIII

General Body Meeting

7:00 pm, Tuesday, April 15th, 2025

Dale 103

**Call to Order- 7:00 pm**

**Opening Roll Call**

**SGA Mission Statement:** The mission of the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association is to support the University's advancement by prioritizing student voices at every degree level; to advocate for the holistic development of each student; and to promote civic engagement and community involvement across campus.

**Mission Statement:** The mission of the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Undergraduate Student Congress is to represent the interests of undergraduate students in their pursuit of academic and individual achievement. The Undergraduate Student Congress is committed to building a strong campus community, promoting civic engagement, and enhancing the quality of the undergraduate experience at the University of Oklahoma.

**Moment of Silence:** 20 Second Moment of Silence to allow an opportunity for reflection.

*On a motion to approve the minutes Oler seconded by Vedra*

**Approval of Minutes | April 8, 2025**

**Officer Reports -**

- **Chair's Report:** Hello everyone, welcome if you are new an extra big welcome, we're really excited to have you here. If you walked in a little later, that's perfectly okay, you should have a name tag at the front, that you can grab, if you want to ask questions tonight. Your name will appear on roll call after you are officially sworn in during special orders. Just real quick so you're not, you know, oddly you know, put into place as this happens, there's a couple things that will happen, so people will say, on a motion and they'll say on a motion to approve the minutes. All motions need a second. That's something you might hear tonight. If something funky happens tonight, like debate or we're going to be nominations, I'll walk through it for everybody, so please don't worry. If you'd like to ask a question, you can just put your placard or name tag up and I'll call on you, I'll say representative Dailey and then you'll say, thank you, Madame Chair please direct my question to the author and then you'll state your questions so it's like, why is this sky blue? And then you'll direct that question to the author. If you have any questions or you want to make a motion at all tonight, we're all here to help you walk through it, it shouldn't be something that's intimidating or scary everybody in here should be very kind, and if they're not, we've got bigger problems. So tonight we do have something special happening. We do have our nominations for our Congress Chair, our Vice Chair and our Secretary. We're going to do nominations in order of those positions, so we'll start with Congress Chair, then Vice Chair, then Secretary, all

nominations have to be made by a representative and seconded by a representative, whoever gives your nomination will go on a motion to nominate Baylor Savage as Congress Chair. He can't be nominated guys and I couldn't do that, but that's how it would work. All right and then, whoever gives your first nomination will give a three-minute speech on your behalf at your next meeting. So if somebody nominates you that you might not want to, please look at me and shake your head no. So I can call on the right person for you to give that nomination and then that's how that's going to work tonight. We have 14 bills I'm hoping to see the WAM bills as a block. Those are the only ones I plan to see as a block. We are also going to be seeing our guest bills tonight, first, so we have two guests from GSS with us tonight, and then we also have our CLA Co-Chairs here as his guests as well as our Chief of Staff and Vice President, so we will prioritize seeing those bills. First, in that order and also committee chairs, please when you get to present tonight, don't forget to say a little bit about what your committee is first, you don't have to give the largest spiel in the world, just a little bit about what you do because these people won't even be put on committees till next year. So, let's give everyone a big ole welcome. We'll have swearing in ceremony in special orders. If you're getting appointed as an associate or a representative in the bill, we'll take a brief recess so we can swear you in. I know, two swearing in ceremonies is a lot but it's how our code works.

- **Vice Chair's Report:** Howdy y'all my name is Aaron I'm the Vice Chair of Congress for my report, I really don't have very much other than just please complete your CSP for the new members who don't know what CSPs are you won't have to do it so it doesn't apply to you, but we'll talk about it more at orientation next semester for that. But yeah, that's all I have and thank you.
- **Secretary's Report:** Hi everyone, for those of you that are new and do not know me my name is Chloe Harris. I am the Secretary. First, I just want to say Congrats on winning your election it is so great to welcome all of you new people. If you are new, I sent you an email through Engage today! Please go and accept that so you can be added into our roster and be able to access the resources on the page.

#### **Committee Reports-**

- **Campus Outreach, Safety, and Concerns:** Hey everyone Since we have a lot of new members here today, I'll briefly explain what COSCO does. My name is Syed Aslam, and I am the Chair of the Campus Outreach, Safety, and Concerns Committee, or COSCO for short. What we do aligns very much with the name of the committee. Regarding outreach, we recently had a Cookies with Congress event in the South Oval where we gave out free cookies and Congress merch. Regarding safety, we hold a light walk every month; we had our last one of the semester yesterday night. Essentially, we just walk around campus at night and look for campus lights that are out, then we report them to facilities management. This semester alone, we have gotten over 60 campus lights fixed. Lastly, regarding student concerns, you all may have seen a campus concerns poster with a QR code on the SGA Instagram; it is on a pinned post. If you scan that code and submit a concern, it goes to me and I am tasked with working on it with my committee or finding a different committee that is responsible for working on it. As for the actual Chair's report, COSCO met officially this past Sunday for the tenth and final time this semester. We wrapped up our projects and finalized details for our Puppies with SGA event coming up on Thursday, April 24th from 11AM-2PM in the South Oval to help students get some stress relief during the busy last few weeks of the semester.
- **Congressional Administration:** The Congressional Administration Committee is responsible for ensuring that all rules in the SGA are being followed and updating the Code Annotated and Bylaws to reflect our current practices. ConAd is also in charge of

appointments and maintaining the interior of SGA and Congress. ConAd met officially this past Sunday and gave CB-113-28 and 33 a Rec of No Rec and CB-113-26, 29, 30, 31, and 32 a Rec of Do Pass. ConAd will hold its last official meeting of the session next Monday at 8:00 a.m.

- **External Affairs:** Hi everyone I am Marilou I am the Chair of External Affairs and what External Affairs does is that we're basically kind of the opposite of the ConAd, where we deal with everything that's outside of campus. We facilitate relations with our legislators and work to get civically engaged around our community and in voting. For example, we have an event, which is the reason why you might see people in suits today at our will not formally usually but we had an event today with higher education day where we go on to the Capitol to lobby on behalf of higher education. So, to touch on that a little bit, thank you so much to everyone who came, it was really successful and I really happy with the way that it turned out. And I can't thank everyone in here and people not in here enough about how they handled the conversations with their legislators. I think everyone came really prepared and asked a lot of insightful questions, and you guys really made a mark at the capital today, you know, people remember those were some good OU students, they had some great conversations with us, so I just want to give a round of applause to everyone who came and did that. .
- **Human Diversity:** Hi everyone my name is Aniketh. I am the committee chair of the Human Diversity Committee. Our committee addresses all issues related to diversity within University policies and within SGA. You will see one of our bills that we have been working on today. On top of that we do other projects like last semester we did a collaboration with EA External Affairs to do a voter registration drive. We also collaborated with a multicultural sorority with that, but yeah we do quite a few projects. The diversity does not just relate to multicultural organizations. We try to focus on all different types of student needs things like that so please join next semester. It is a great committee. A lot of the people in the committee are really passionate and we truly do make the change to make the quality of student life so much better here.
- **Sustainability:** Good evening everyone. My name is Kyle Oler and I am the chair of the Sustainability Committee for a few more weeks. Our committee focuses on projects and legislation pertaining to sustainability on campus. For example, a more recent project that you might have seen around campus is our confetti-awareness signs, which we've seen a lot of great engagement with. Susty met for our last official meeting on Sunday and discussed wrapping up our ongoing projects and ensuring things are in place to start back up next semester! We also discussed the continued issue of confetti pollution on campus. This Thursday, April 17th, at 7:00pm, we will be hosting an emergency confetti trash pickup. This WILL be a CSP opportunity for April, so definitely join us if you are still needing one this month. The chair of the GSS sustainability committee attended our meeting to present CR-111-13 "Sustainable Water Bottle Resolution." Our committee provided a recommendation of due pass, and we look forward to seeing this tonight. Also, Green Week will be held April 21-27th, and Susty will be officially collaborating on two events: we will be tabling as Susty at the Earth Day fair on the South Oval on April 22nd from 10:00am-2:00pm, and we will be attending their Duck Pond Trash Pick Up on April 26th from 12:00pm-2:00pm. So, please join us if you can. Thank you, that is all I have!
- **University Policy:** Hi, all! My name is Caleb Robinson, and I am the Chairman of the University Policy Committee. My committee, colloquially known as UPOL, is tasked with addressing all student concerns related to University policy, programs, and initiatives. To put that into perspective, a lot of our projects are centered around meetings with administrators

to work on projects such as raising the student minimum wage, modifying meal swipe benefits, and more. We are primarily a project based committee but have seen an uptick in legislation in recent weeks. This previous Sunday, we met officially for the final time this session and discussed our projects - namely how we intend to start wrapping them up. Our current petition to change our meal plan prices and offerings is up to 1,300 signatures! UPOL saw CR-113-09, CR-113-12! and CB-113-27 and gave all a rec of do pass.

- **Ways and Means:** Hi everyone! My name is Jacob I am the Ways and Means Chair. Since we have some new members, I'll briefly cover what Ways and Means, or WAM, does before covering the committee report. WAM is the committee in Congress in charge of the funding processes for Registered Student Organizations, or RSOs, so it's our committee that reviews funding applications and allocates funds to the RSOs on campus. As far as what we've been up to, we've been going over the script for a long-form video that we want to share with the RSOs on campus so that they can get a good understanding of what the funding process looks like in one sitting, and are also creating a single document that can be cited that provides every resource available to our RSOs for funding. Thank you guys!

## **Liaison Reports**

### **Special Orders**

- Swearing in Ceremony
- Nominations
  - Chair
    - *On a motion to nominate Caleb Robinson Christiansen seconded by Vedra*
    - *On a motion to nominate Jacob Schonfield Duncan seconded by Fisher*
  - Vice Chair
    - *On a motion to nominate Aaron Gilson-Bond Cade Walker seconded by Cody Walker*
    - *On a motion to nominate Leo Carter Ball seconded by Burnett*
  - Secretary
    - *On a motion to nominate Michael Wintory Martin seconded by Morgan*
    - *On a motion to nominate Ava Burnett Harris seconded by Pruitt*

## **Student Concerns**

### **Items to Be Considered**

*On a motion to see CR-113-07 Hallum seconded by Robinson*

- CR-113-07 “Midterm Exam Per Day Limit Act of Spring 2025.” (Morgan) (Rec of Do Pass)

### **Authors Explanation:**

So this is just part of my project in Upol to try to get a University wide midterm limit. Currently in place there is a final examination limit of 2 per day you can reschedule the 3<sup>rd</sup> no questions asked. I am trying to get that done for midterms as well because oftentimes they're worth just as much and they are just as time consuming, stress inducing as a final exam. I took a bunch of the language directly from OU's policy and procedure manual, put it right in there and basically just called for the University to add a limit for midterms as well as final exams.

7:22 remaining for questions

- **Schonfield-** In your authors explanation you said there is a limit of two exams per day and you can reschedule the third but in your resolution it just says that there is a final exam limit of 3 per day I just wanted to make sure I understood what you're saying?
  - Yeah there is University policy is that if you have 3 midterms in a day you can reschedule the 3<sup>rd</sup> so yeah you can say a limit of 2 or of 3 either way you are allowed to reschedule the third no matter what.

- **Hawkins-** What kind of doors do you think would be open for the students?
  - Yeah so we actually had an example in committee, after the storm that they canceled class like 2 weeks in a row Wednesday, Thursday it was during midterms week Representative Hawley knew a couple of people that had 4 or 5 midterms in a day and that's ridiculous I think we all know there is no way anyone in this room should be expecting to take 5 midterms so just alleviating some stress making it easier for people.
- **Cade Walker-** How did you come up with a definition of a midterm does this include essays?
  - This was absolutely the hardest part of this. Me and Caleb and Elias all went back and forth with a bunch of administrators all over this. We initially had it where if it was 10% of your total grade you were allowed to reschedule it but then Mike Morant who is the Vice Provost of students was like maybe 15 to 20 to 25. So we decided let's just scrap it if the professor says it's a midterm then it is a midterm, but for your question like an essay if it is something that is built up in the time for a week we are going to say no just because we want to be in line with the Policy and Procedure Manual which is for final exams which do happen during one sitting during one class period.
- **Harris-** Just a clarification it is completely optional if you have 5 midterms in a day you can do them all if you want?
  - Yeah of course you have the option to reschedule. If you have 3 finals you can take them in a day but you have the option to reschedule you are not required to no.
- **Cunningham-** As this is your first bill what do you think was the most unexpected part in writing this
  - I initially started this out as a CB because I didn't know the difference between a CB because it didn't know the difference between the two and then Caleb was like well maybe we shouldn't do this as a CB. So just kind of learning some of the procedures that we do here and just getting the right format and getting everything in order was just new to me but important.

31-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CR-113-08 Toyos seconded by Estrada*

- CR-113-08 "Accessibility and Disability Resource Center Improvement Resolution."  
(Radzanowski/Phillips) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

**Radzanowski-** Hi everyone my name is Lorelai. I'm Gavin and we have been working on a bill actually for a couple months now and last week we heard from the ADRC and got to hear about all the changes that have been made this year. I know a lot of you have heard some negative views coming from the ADRC coming from the Fall semester before the leadership change, but the ADRC has put a lot of work in to not only change how people receive them but also how they function from within so our resolution has two parts. The first part is a recognition of all the changes they have made from within and all the changes they are hoping to achieve and the second part is the survey.

**Phillips-** So basically the survey portion of our bill we want to be able to send out a survey out to the student body of OU to get people's experiences with the ADRC because the different experiences that one has because it is important for people to get those experiences. A lot of the goals of the ADRC would need approval from the Cabinet one of them being that they want to switch to a brand new processing system and that would mean they would need basically proof and

statistics to show that it would be necessary in order to go forward with that. So basically the survey just includes basic points and basic questions regarding their experiences.

**Radzanowski-** The current system being used by the ADRC is a title 9 system to take in all accommodations requests and that means a lot of the information becomes lost or just avoided and they want to use a system called AIM which is designed specifically for accommodation resources. This resolution was made in collaboration with Lauren Sebel the interim executive director of the ADRC.

6:18 remaining for questions

- **Harris-** So I have been taking a look at this I think it is really well made the whereas clauses are super good and the survey itself is very strong. I am curious as to why it is passed as a resolution rather than a bill because all previous surveys have been a bill?
  - **Radzanowski-** Yeah so, we consider this to be a stepping stone. We were given the options and we wanted to gather the information before we created a bill. So the whereas clauses and the responses we get from the survey will then be added to our bill creating a stronger backing for the things that we want to ADRC in the future.
- **Harris-** I just don't know if a resolution would be also able to implement a survey at the same time?
  - **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** So at the time whenever it was being done not to throw this back at you I thought this was being done in two ways. I thought this was just a fact thing that we have done in the past and I thought there was going to be a separate bill for a survey and that's on me for not looking out after that. I pretty sure with the process as it it still gets done if you vote on it you're saying you are okay with us doing a survey anyway. That is the most important part. That's in all actuality that we see these in the body to begin with so whether it is a CB or CR it does the same exact thing.
- **Vedra-** Would you be open to a friendly amendment to correct the spelling of President Harroz?
  - Yes that is a scrivener's error
- **Harris-** Would you be in favor of a friendly amendment in section upon passage of this bill to upon passage of resolution?
  - Yes.

31-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to enter a 5-minute recess Cunningham seconded by Toyos*

*On a motion to see CR-113-09 Christiansen seconded by Robinson*

- CR-113-09 "Resolution Recognizing Congress Representative Shrey Kathuria" (Ferraro)  
(Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

Hi, everyone, for those who don't know me, which is mostly everyone., my name is Ren Ferrero. I'm the outgoing vice chair of the Graduate Student Senate. You might see me walking around in the Conoco not talking to anyone, but this resolution is to recognize a Shrey Kathuria, Representative now Associate I guess. Shrey has been a part of Congress since Fall of 2021 and has authored 14 but I think soon to be 15 pieces of legislation. Many of them help us celebrate and up lift different groups and communities on campus, like recognizing the softball team and gymnastics team and others focus on making our campus and the college experience better for students like the resolutions promoting TopHat, the Vaping and Nicotine Education Act and the DEI Matter Act. Shrey is always looking for ways to make OU more inclusive for all students as well as make SGA, more accessible, and transparent for everyone. I think he's a good model of how we can use student

government to serve all students and help build ladders for others to succeed. I've interacted with a lot of students and Undergraduate Congress in my seven years on GSS and no one has exemplified these characteristics, quite like Shrey. So I hope this resolution can help demonstrate that. Fun fact, I went through four minutes or four years of the Congress minutes to collect and accurate representation of Shrey's impacts on Congress and SGA.

6:27 remaining for questions

- **Chair Rhoads-** Would you accept me as a co-sponsor to the bill?
  - Absolutely.
- **Secretary Harris-** Would you also accept me as a co-sponsor to the bill?
  - Absolutely.
- **Cade Walker-** Would you accept me as a co-sponsor to the bill?
  - Absolutely.
- **Schonfield-** would you be interested in adding me as a co-sponsor to the bill?
  - Absolutely.
- **Wang-** Would you you know?
  - Yes.
- **Fisher-** Same thing?
  - Yes.
- **McCarty-** Would you accept me as a co-sponsor?
  - Absolutely.
- **Harris-** Would you be in favor of adding me, Representative Carter, Associate Bento, Representative Burnett, Representative Pruitt, Representative Cunningham. Representative Means, Associate Oler, Granato, Richardson, Toyos, Duncan, Bertotti, Philips, Christiansen?
  - Absolutely.
- **Aslam-** Would you accept me as a co-sponsor?
  - Absolutely.
- **Chief of Staff Wolthuis-** Would you accept a friendly amendment adding this corner of the room as a co-sponsor, Ellie Wolthuis, Avery Dunlap, Mercer Martin, Cal Smith, Baylor Savage?
  - Absolutely.
- **Martin-** Would you be willing to add me as a co-sponsor?
  - Yes.
- **Chair Rhoads-** What would you say is your favorite piece of legislation you've written over the years?
  - **Kathuria-** Oh my gosh that has got to be one of the hardest ones because I feel like I have done a lot of them over the years. I would say that the one that stuck out the most was the Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion Matters resolution because I sat down with multiple members of this body including I believe, Mr. Keupen, Mr. Robinson at the time and alongside former chair Halsy-Kraus and obviously Chair Rhoads was there a lot of people were in this room helping me write this bill. So basically it was a response after the governor in the state of Oklahoma banned Universities from having DEI offices and I felt that it was time to have a stronger response to show that hey we care about diversity, we care about equity, we care about inclusion and that we are here to lift up all OU students and everybody regardless of your race, regardless of your sexuality, regardless of your gender, and just make sure everybody knows that they are welcome here and yes we have made a substantial impact in

administrative decisions as you know they have been fighting their tails off including Dr. Surratt and Quy Nguyen and it is just so powerful to have the whole body stand behind me and make sure that we are representing the will of the underrepresented for every person regardless of where they come from and regardless of who they are.

- **Wintory-** Given that you are leaving the body this semester what would you say to the new members of Congress given that they will be the first generation without your tutelage?
  - Kathuria- Well I think a key is for all you lovely people is it's okay, like write bills about what you are passionate about. It doesn't have to be the same ole projects like venture yourself into new ideas, like maybe for example address the issue such as the possible health dangers of energy drinks for example, do whatever is passionate with you, do whatever resonates with you and your constituents. Talk to your constituents ask them about what has been driving them crazy like right now confetti has been driving everybody crazy right now. So do the work for the people because remember it is not about you it is about the everyday OU student who needs that opportunity to go out there and pursue excellence in the classroom within the OU community.

30-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CR-113-10 Wang seconded by Carter*

- CR-113-10 "Campus Safety Resolution of 2025." (Bento/Griffin) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

I will keep this really short this is just a resolution that talks a little bit about gun safety and we are basically just reaffirming that we want to keep firearms off of campus as has been tradition since the beginning of time.

7:41 remaining for questions

- **Kathuria-** As you may be aware about past incidents of gun violence on college campuses such as the incident that I believe occurred into 2007 or 2008 involving a firearm at Virginia Tech University?
  - I am not aware of that particular incident, but I am aware of gun violence broadly on campus and this legislation just reminds the legislature that keeping guns off of campuses is the most effective way to reduce gun violence of campuses.
- **Hawley-** Are you aware that there last year was an RSO petitioning to change OU's rules allowing people to carry guns on campus?
  - I was not aware but as far as I am aware it would be under Oklahoma Law for that.

30-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CR-113-11 Vedra seconded by Duncan*

- CR-113-11 "Sustainable Water Bottle Resolution) (Jordan/ Triemstra/Smith) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

Hi folks I am Abigail Triemstra from the Graduate Student Senate. I am the secretary of the sustainability committee and this resolution that we made is mostly just trying to make sure that the water bottle refill stations on campus and the filters change regularly, and that more are added in several places on campus starting with the physical sciences center and the national weather center. We got a bunch of data from a survey of OU students about whether they would use more water bottle refill stations, and most people said they would. People were in favor of adding more as well so yeah it is mostly just making sure that the filters stay green and that we get more.

7:12 remaining for questions



- **Vedra-** The LK water filling stations typically show red filter statuses even if they have been replaced with third party filters have you talked to maintenance about whether or not they are using cheaper filters you know that show they might not be as fresh as on brand ones?
  - I did not know about that but thanks for bringing it up and hopefully we can take that into consideration when we send this facilities.
- **Kathuria-** How do you believe these touch free water fountains help with promoting environmentalism and helping preserve our environment and reducing pollution?
  - Really it is just reducing the amount of single use plastics that people use on campus if there are readily available water bottle fillers, they are less likely to go out and buy a single use water bottle and throw it away or recycle it. In both cases it is a net negative for the environment rather than bringing your own water bottle.
- **Harris-** So is this resolution kind of a stepping stool or is it do you plan on taking it further?
  - It is kind of a steppingstone. Really we have been working on this for a couple semesters, trying to get the ball rolling and we just decided that we have all this data, we have numbers of what water pumps are used, where they're used, and we just want to get it out there and get something started.
- **Harris-** I am actually very curious about the water bottle stations do you have a physical map?
  - So we don't have a physical map but there is a storage map that someone at OU has made. it is not all the way updated but we do have data on different water bottle stations from different buildings on the South Oval primarily and also down at the National Weather center and the areas around that. Over a period of two years we have filter statuses, we have how many water bottles are being displayed on the little screen, where there are stations, where there are not especially in that area on the South Oval.

30-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CR-113-12 Hallum seconded by Morgan*

- CR-113-12 "Accommodated Testing Accessibility For All Act" (Kathuria) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

Hi guys for all you new members of this body my name is Shrey Kathuria. I use him/his pronouns. I am a senior majoring in community health on a pre-med track so I will be graduating in a few weeks, but anyways, you know, one of my last things I wanted to do, you know, for the OU student body is to address the issue regarding accommodated testing accessibility, as we know in the past, you know, students used to be able to take their exams at the ADRC and you could take your exam at the same prescribed time your exam starts you know, for your given class. So let's say, you know, I have an organic chemistry exam at like 9:30 in the morning. I could sign up at the ADRC to take my exam at 9:30 in the morning. And then in the Fall semester of 2024, that ended up, the location ended up changing, but you know, the process was essentially the same. If I had an 11am biochemistry exam. I could sign up to take my 11am biochemistry exam at the testing center at the exact same time.

However, this semester things all suddenly changed. Now they only offer four times slots in which a student can sign up for an accommodated test. So, now like the times are 8:30 AM, 10:30 AM, 1 PM and 3 PM. So the flexibility in students being able to sign up for accommodated exams. That has diminished significantly, and now in an email sent by the OU testing center, they said that they want they basically said that the professor should be like the ones providing spaces for students with testing accommodations. I'm like, uh, what's going on? Why are you putting a burden on professors? Like the reality is there are not really available spaces on campus during the day. A lot of the spaces

we have on campus are being used for class. So I think what we have to do is make sure that we have accessibility for everyone.

5:00 remaining for questions

- **Harris-** In whereas clause 6 accommodations is missing an m, would you be in favor of fixing that?
  - That is a scrivener's error.
- **Carter-** Have you reached out to the accommodations center?
  - That is affirmative yes I actually went there a few weeks ago to take my Spanish exam. I talked to someone at the desk and they said basically go ask someone at the ADRC. Then I emailed the testing center about this inquiry and didn't get a response. I asked about this matter to the person who gave the presentation last Tuesday from the ADRC and she said that had to do with the testing center not the ADRC so essentially what I have come to the conclusion with is basically the ADRC and OU testing center is basically playing ping pong in terms of who has the responsibility. So it feels like I am watching a game of ping pong and every day OU students and faculty are losing.
- **Radzanowski-** Have you researched if this violates any laws in terms as far as providing resources for students who are going to be taking their test during the actual time with their additional accommodations because this is not what is stated on the laws?
  - That is a very good point right there. I actually never thought about that like I kind of wanted to get the bill out as fast as I could because I thought this was a very urgent matter, but thank you so much for bringing that up I definitely think that is a very good project I think for HD to be working on in the following semester.
- **Morgan-** In section 3 you said you want students with testing accommodations to be allowed to take exams from the testing hours of 8:15 to 5 pm are you wanting every single start class time to have a slot for testing exams or would you just like there to be more blocks available?
  - I am saying like every time like similar to the old system basically.
- **Morgan-** Do you know how many staff members or people at the ADRC are available to proctor exams at any given time?
  - I would say what they have is they have like 2 or 3 people at the front desk at the testing center and then you know they have cameras I want to say for supervising the students taking exams and then I will say they have had some historical issues with staffing. There have been plenty of staffing issues that have unfortunately been taking place and you know there is probably something systemic and it needs to be addressed quickly.
- **Bento-** Is it in your opinion that the testing centers are usually staffed Monday through Friday during open hours like 9-5?
  - Yes that is generally the case.
- **Evans-** So the testing hours between 8 and 5, do you think this could cause a problem for students during finals week especially if they have finals that are scheduled to start at 7 or 7:30 pm that go till 9 where they wouldn't be able to use them or would you be willing to see if the ADRC would be willing to accommodate that?
  - I think for the most part the testing center to be open at 7 pm that I think people over there they have families they got to eat they have got to get their appropriate amount of rest. I think to an extent it has to be professors in that situation must take the responsibility in being flexible with the student and work to make

accommodations with the student alongside the testing center like they can take the exam at an earlier time frame or they can simply take it the next day so I don't think that really is that big of a concern.

30-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CB-113-26 Hawkins seconded by Christiansen*

- CB-113-26 "Search Committee Clarification Act." (Dunlap/Martin) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

**Martin-** This bill basically is just clarifying how we are appointing people to search committees. We had a lot of questions about this, how this process is and how this has been done in the past. So we are just putting it in the Code Annotated to be sure that we don't have this question come up again.

**President Dunlap-** Yeah this is protecting, just making sure that the President knows the expectations, so it is very clear and protects people from any future confusions and also future appointees to the search committees of future administrations as well.

7:22 remaining for questions

- **Cody Walker-** Was there any context for writing this bill?
  - **Martin-** I believe that you all know why from our last meeting in March, if you do not know what happened I really suggest you all go and read the minutes.
- **Kathuria-** Is it in your opinion that having appointees for deans search committees having them go through a confirmation hearing in the Congress and the GSS do you believe that is a very inefficient process of getting them to be able to preform the responsibilities for the student body?
  - **President Dunlap-** I don't believe the purpose behind that confirmation is inefficient in itself. I believe that the timeline is very inefficient. Most times we are given 2 to 3 business days to submit a name and Congress does not have a general body meeting within those 3 days so that is why it is an issue in the past where multiple Presidents and what is written in the bill is the process done by previous Presidents. It just was not in language and so that is why there was confusion on it recently, so the timeline we are given is inefficient.
- **Harris-** Have you considered so you said email or in person meeting, my slight concern with an in person meeting is there wouldn't be a paper trail of physical document have you considered maybe having a signature either like electronic or digital rather than just an in person meeting?
  - **Martin-** So yes we thought about that but we are always, its always been done in the past that all the branches have fully cooperated with each other. So that hasn't been an issue in the past that is an issue just recently.
- **Harris-** If it has been an issue recently wouldn't it be in the best practice of the body to mitigate potential future issues?
  - **Martin-** The issue recently is that it just wasn't done. That was the issue recently. It just wasn't done. It wasn't communicated even within its own executive branch. That was the issue recently.
  - **President Dunlap-** In the past the initial agreement was through text message or through phone call or something like that. That is how they approved Dean's Search Committee and in recent actions there was no conversation with anyone else. So the email itself or conversation itself is the consent that we were talking about that is written already through the Code Annotated and so also the paper trail conversation would be that we get these dean search committee requests through an email so the email would be sent to the President so that is creating a paper trail of approval.

- **Harris-** So the email will be sent to everyone so there is kind of a paper trail through email?
  - **President Dunlap-** The email is sent just to the President. That's the paper trail of the approval and with it being in the Code Annotated that they got approval from the Congress Chair and the Senate Chair, in theory they wouldn't send an approval email without getting approval or they would be in violation of the Code.
- **Kathuria-** For the record I think its important that the body knows this so obviously when I was appointed to a dean's search committee for the college of professional and continuing studies was there a process that the former student body president used to at least get in touch with the Congress Chair and the GSS Chair regarding my appointment?
  - **Chief of Staff Wolthuis-** Yes he had reached out to Congress Chair and Vice Chair at the time. I know because I was also an appointee to Dodge Dean Search and I was also communicated through email to the two chairs.
- **Carter-** How would you expect the President to respond to whether a Chair is unresponsive in the limited amount of time they have for a response to take how do you expect to work with this bill if that's the case?
  - **Martin-** In the event where a chair is not available in that instance it would just go with our hierarchy works the vice chair would assume that role because the Chair can just not do that duties at that time.

31-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CB-113-27 Morgan seconded by Hallum*

- CB-113-27 "Red River Holiday Act 2025." (Dunlap/Rhoads/Norris/Wolthuis/Corbin)  
(Rec of Do-Pass)

#### **Authors Explanation:**

**President Dunlap-** Hello everyone my name Avery Dunlap if we haven't met, I serve as the SGA president. This is the red river rivalry Holiday Act, so the Friday before OU Texas we have Friday off. There is not an election, midterm election this year so there wasn't an election day discussion this was just the day that we decided to go like we do every year.

**Chief of Staff Wolthuis-** Hello everyone my name is Ellie Wolthuis I am the Chief of Staff. For those of you that don't know in the Regent's manual basically SGA is allowed to allocate one holiday, so we are able to suggest for this day to be off in the past many many years we have always requested Red River. In the past during election years like Avery said sometimes people discuss having voting day off, but tradition kind of sticks. We love to have the Friday before OU Texas off so once again we are proposing the Red River Rivalry

**Chair Rhoads-** Hi guys I am Hope Rhoads.

6:57 remaining for questions

31-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CB-113-28 Duncan seconded by Harris*

- CB-113-28 "Martin-Toyos Appointment Act" (Dunlap) (Rec of No Rec)

#### **Authors Explanation:**

**Smith:** Alright everybody my name is Cal Smith, and this is Mercer Martin we are the current CLA co-chairs. So for those of you a little unfamiliar CLA is the Crimson Leadership Association, what that is is an introductory organization for any freshman or first year students interested in joining student government association and leadership and involvement in general on campus. So part of the role as co-chairs is to be a mentor, a resource, and someone who plans regular meetings every Monday at 7pm, bonding nights to engage the group and goes out of their way to have office hours to prepare these people for further opportunities on campus, both in SGA and outside of SGA so it is a little bit about what we do and what these two will be doing next year.

Martin- We picked these two because of their great character, me and my co-chair both went through bias training before we picked them, and they are doing the same before picking their vice chairs. We just truly believe that they're going to be great leaders to the next class of CLA and keep pushing forward.

6:52 remaining for questions

- **Robinson-** Could you take a second to explain who you are, why you want this position, and what you think you have learned that makes you best for this?
  - **Toyos-** My name is Alex Toyos. I am a psychology major with a minor in Health and Exercise Science. I was really excited to join CLA in the first place because I've done a lot of other similar activities in high school and middle school. I did it is called web leaders it was eighth graders with sixth graders and in high school I did freshman mentors, so it was seniors with freshman, pretty much the same ideas as CLA. We did presentations, we brought in speakers to show them these are study tips, this is how to get accustomed to high school and CLA just was that opportunity for me to get mentored, obviously going from high school to college its triple the amount of students and finding a place that I can make my mark as I have clearly done. I am involved in Congress. I am in OU psych club and I am an OK Votes ambassador and I just thought this position could really push that and I think I have the qualities to push that.
  - **Matin-** Hi guys my name is Zaber Matin. I am an MIS major, management information systems for those of you that don't know. One thing that I came into CLA not knowing was what CLA was in general. I had some people tell me what it was and then I saw the flyer in my dorm and was like oh maybe I want to apply for this and then I applied and thankfully got in after that. After seeing these two do their first two meetings I was like I want their position. I want to have the same effect they have on me and I want to be able to do that to the new class that comes and one thing that I think will help me is just the fact that I have a warm and welcoming personality.
- **Harris-** It has been a pretty well-established pipeline from CLA to Undergraduate Congress do you plan on and if so how do you plan on maintaining that pipeline and keeping CLA involved with Congress?
  - **Toyos-** I will start with an example of it. We do we are trying to focus, we do like areas of focus so every couple weeks we do a new topic so we try to maintain an SGA unit where we have Chair Rhoads came, we had Jordan Norris come the Chair of GSS, Kennedy who is CAC Chair. Pretty much all the heads of SGA come and they talk about their branch what they do. Congress I would say is a really easy branch to go and get involved in. I remember Hope came and talked about it and was like hey there is an interest meeting about election filing, you guys should go and I thought that I was going to be in CAC, if I was going to be in student government because I didn't do Stucco in high school because I played soccer I just couldn't so it so I was like okay event planning that is what I want to do. But I heard about Congress and was like you know what it sounds fun I think I can do it obviously worse case scenario I don't like it, its only a year, but I am here and I ended up loving it. I am planning to run for my seat again I really give credit to Hope and Baylor I am really open about it they were the ones that pushed me to do it. I think they saw that I have the qualities to go for it and I think just establishing that

pipeline we have three new members of CLA freshly new to Congress, shoutout so I think we are going to have no problem keeping CLA in Congress.

- **Matin-** And to build off of that I think just having more people come by to our meetings, we do have those meetings weekly and just explain more about what they do, like the heads of the position or even just like a Vice Chair of a position just talk more about it so then we would know or like the new people would know exactly what goes on and if they want to join that position or not.
- **Kathuria-** What are the qualities that you see in these two perspective CLA chairs?
  - **Smith-** Thank you for your question associate, so the qualities I see first and foremost are first of all having a serving minded heart, really leading by example in many aspects always being hard workers. Then that kind of builds into engagement into CLA so how we show we care for the organization and its mission is by showing up to meetings. Interacting with speakers and fellow CLAers and for this role specifically as you look beyond just being a member of CLA I believe they both have a deep heart for mentorship which is the center of this role and really having the ability like I said to be a warm and welcoming presence for all of the new freshman on campus while plugging them into opportunities but also making sure that they feel welcomed. Finally I think they both have a deep sense of empathy for others who might be struggling as freshman and I think all of us have a time in freshman year where we don't really know what we are going to do. We feel like we might be falling behind and CLA is really intended to make sure that everyone finds their path on campus so I think these two can most effectively do that next year.
- **Kathuria-** How do you believe these two perspective CLA chairs will help improve CLA if they end up getting confirmed into their positions?
  - **Martin-** Well this will be the second year where we have a set curriculum so building on that, developing on that, this is also the second year that we have had three vice chair positions so they came in with a lot of new ideas to experience this new type of program to keep building on it, keep developing on it they have a lot of exciting plans to really encompass all different people from all walks of life on this campus and a whole new group of CLAers and just kind of mentor them.

31-0-0

*On a motion to see CB-113-29, CB-113-31, CB-113-32 as a block Fisher seconded by Duncan*

- CB-113-29 "Budget Procedures Act of 2025" (Schonfield) (Rec of Do-Pass)
- CB-113-30 "Budget Review Procedures Act of 2025" (Schonfield) (Rec of Do-Pass)
- CB-113-31 "SGA Non-Student Salaries Layout Act of 2025" (Schonfield) (Rec of Do-Pass)

#### **Authors Explanation:**

Hello everyone, I am going to keep it as quick as possible basically with the Budget Procedures Act of 2025, this one just does some maintenance in the finance section of the code annotated. It basically just updates the Bylaws reflect the way that they are already being done across the board. I did move stuff down to make it look a little better in the allowable items section of the code annotated. Other than that everything is essentially just making everything reflect current practices so that we are not violating the code annotated in our day to day activities as Congress. With regard to the budget review procedures act I changed the review process from being essentially a much more drawn out and long process to being more condensed process where I am actually required as the WAM Chair to let organizations know that they have funding that was seen in WAM so they have the opportunity to appeal it before it is seen in either GSS or Congress that way the process is not being interrupted by the appeals process. It also makes it so myself or a member of WAM can

be removed by the Congress Exec deciding by simple majority either myself or any member of the committee and then big 3 by virtue of two of them deciding that certain individuals haven't done their job then in the SGA nonstudent salaries layout act I just changed things in the salary section of the Code Annotated to reflect that the SGA staff assistant and the SGA budget and account representative are both real life employees who should be getting raises every year and if we have their salaries listed in the Code Annotated every year not only does that in some level violate their privacy with us just blasting their salary out to everybody but it also just means that we are in violation of reality whenever we are not updating it every single year to reflect what they are actually being paid. I am also expecting a friendly amendment with regard to the Section on the OU student services staff attorney seeing as that position is now provided for by student affairs.

5:03 remaining for questions

- **Christiansen-** This question is in regard to CB-113-29, so just on the second page of that you just have the one thing struck out regarding the regent's policy statement of 1992 can you just clarify why that is being removed
  - That is being struck because I could not find it. I could not find it anywhere, I reached out to George and tried to figure out where I could get a hold of it, couldn't find it so I think having that listed as something that is prohibiting things is really more liability than anything else because we don't know what it says.
- **Kathuria-** Regarding the bill CB-113-30 it says the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary can expel a member why not the whole Congress?
  - I essentially just thought it would be easier to let big 3 take care of it or the Congress Exec and then Big 3 just to be a little bit more clear about how that process would work is that someone in Congress Exec could bring issues to the attention of Congress Exec so committee chairs and Big 3 would vote on whether or not the Ways and Means committee's job is being effectively accomplished and if a simple majority of Congress exec agrees that it is not being accomplished than that empowers the Congress Chair, Vice Chair and Secretary to remove any member of WAM from the committee from the body so that they can replace those positions with someone who can get the job done. Right now there is not any recourse for removing people for inability or ineptness and seeing as WAM is pretty important that we have that process like that here. Also there is already a preexisting way of doing this, the way you can do it currently is by reporting this issue to the SGA President and then forming an ad hoc committee that is made up of the SGA President, Vice President, CAC Chair, GSS Chair and the Congress Chair and then those individuals would essentially take it upon themselves to remove people from the committee, whether that be myself, my Vice Chair, or Secretary however they see fit and I just think it makes more sense for it to stay in house with Congress seeing as it is a Congress committee and I think it would be done more efficiently this way. Plus the people who would actually know whether or not we're doing our job are the people that are working directly with us.
- **Wang-** Would you accept a friendly amendment on CB-113-31 Section 3 Subsection 2 to strike the OU legal service staff attorney from the list?
  - I would be in favor yes.

31-0-0 unanimous pass of block

*On a motion to see CB-113-32 Morgan seconded by Hallum*

- CB-113-32 "Behavioral Misconduct Removal Act" (Walker) (Rec of Do-Pass)

**Authors Explanation:**

Ok yes so this is the bill that I am presenting over the procedure that would remove someone from the body for behavioral misconduct. This is surprisingly something that is not in the bylaws and so this is honestly I feel like something that is a long time coming there really is no way to remove someone besides someone from exec for behavioral misconduct. I would also like to preface this by saying this is in no way trying to target someone if we pass this bill there is no one in this body who is going to get hit with a bunch of you know complaints or anything like that. This has been in the context with something that happened from a couple sessions back so I am not going to go into too many details about that because I think that should be private, But also you know this might be a controversial bill but I would just like to say that I did go to great lengths to communicate this with ConAd. I was working on this before spring break and so this has been 3 or 4 weeks in the making. I am just going to explain it briefly to you guys so for the expulsion process any person can report an action to Big 3, big 3 then has the discretion to investigate if needed and to decide whether or not its credible. In the investigation Big 3 they can use any means at their disposal to investigate it. If they decide that it is credible they will institute or hand out some of the points that are outlined within the point system. We created a point system at the bottom of the bill I hope you all read it. Including that any accused member can appeal it to the committee chairs which in this function is sort of the appeals, so this is kind of as a check to big 3. If a member reaches 15 points any big 3 officer can create an expulsion bill and that must be seen in executive committee and then it is seen in general body where the process is sort of like the impeachment process we have seen before.

5:00 remaining for questions

- **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** Would you like to finish your infographic if you did not finish?
  - So the general body process is sort of similar to how we did it for the impeachment trial. That being said there is 10 minutes for questions for the author as well as 10 minutes for the person being accused. It is then put into debate and then we enter into executive session whereby the accused member can sit in the executive session. Then there will be a 2/3<sup>rd</sup> roll call vote and also at that point we will decide whether of not that person stays or not in the body. There is also an approvement with or without prejudice which means that with prejudice the person would not be able to enter into the body like ever again except reviewed by the executive committee or without prejudice would mean that the person can enter into the body after the next session so that is the end of my explanation thank you.
- **Schonfield-** Would you be open to a friendly amendment In Section 3 subsection 4, striking “and”, replacing with “or”, striking “all”, in subsection 1 of that same section replacing "the" with "conflict of interest for the", replacing "and" with "or" and striking "all being in conflict of interest with the accused Congress member" and adding "Should it be the case that one committee chair recuse themselves, then this becomes a 5/6 majority. If more than one committee chair recuses themselves, then it shall require unanimity. If there are less than three committee chairs who have not recused themselves, then the decision shall go back to the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary." and in Section 3 subsection 11 replacing "immediately before the time for questions shall begin" with "in items to be considered" In Section 3 Subsection 13 striking the word "officer" adding "with prejudice" after "expelled" both times in Section 3 Subsection 14 Sub Subsection 2?
  - Yes, I would.
- **Kathuria-** If this bill were to pass how do you believe this would help ensure that people in the student government are conducting themselves in a way that the student body would expect? And how do you believe it will help improve overall conduct and moral?



- I would hope that this would be you know part of the thought process you know when someone makes a bad decision that might be Representatives. I hope that people don't make bad decisions overall and I hope that the purpose of this bill will you know actually have an effect and create good conduct.

*On a motion to extend time for questions 5 minutes Wang*

*On an amendatory motion to extend time for questions by 10 minutes Robinson seconded by Evans*

- **Kathuria-** In this piece of legislation in regard to what an automatic infraction is why isn't bullying, harassment, or intimidation of any student, faculty, or SGA member considered under that category for potential automatic expulsion?
  - We thought it was appropriate putting it there. I talked to a lot of people about this, and they thought that was the appropriate place to put it. I would just say that it doesn't fall to the same extent as do the other ones for automatic expulsions. You know bullying, harassment, and intimidation isn't the same as actual physical violence, so that would be my reasoning for that.
- **Fisher-** For section 4 why specifically any student, faculty, or SGA member why not anyone?
  - SGA member includes everyone at OU.
- **Wang-** In section 3 you state that all infraction points shall be reset to zero at the start of every academic semester what was your reasoning behind this policy?
  - Because so I guess kind of the alternative would be every year. I feel like that is too stringent of a policy. I think this was just to be fair to the Representative. I think that they should be wiped out every semester because with these type of incidents should just be held in conversation with HR and also it could be the case that Big 3 might be different in the case of summer to the fall and also the chance of no confidence. So there might just be a difference in Big 3 which would alter the decisions.
- **Evans-** Does this bill change the standards already in place for being expelled due to absences or does this bill allow for 15 absences per semester?
  - No so the latter would not be the case, expulsion for absences is completely its own category. There actually is just one thing that I edited a part to that which removed the do pass because that just we don't do that anymore that was just codifying something that was customary. Just we customarily do a recommendation of no rec because we don't want to have any bias but no I did not change anything about that process anything based on absences would be the same route.
- **Dailey-** Can you explain to me what the code of conduct is right now like why this bill is needed?
  - **Walker-** Well I would say that there is no code.
  - **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** Currently there is nothing in place for your actions the only way you can be essentially removed is by my absences which obviously resets every single semester. If you were an associate just go to committee meetings and you are all good in the neighborhood. So this is pretty much to make it so if there was the case where someone did something that was very obscene then it does open that door for them to be removed from Congress not from the University.
- **Aslam-** How do you define hate speech in this bill?
  - **Secretary Harris-** We didn't want to define those words just because if we did for instance if somebody used some kind of slur that they could technically use because of being a minority or in that group and somebody that wouldn't technically qualify as hate speech because it wasn't against somebody, but if he used, if he put in a

provision that's like this includes using slurs of something like that then it would make it too specific I would say and then people could get into trouble for things that they weren't meaning harm to anybody for. It is just to make it anything that is targeted toward somebody that is meant to be harmful.

- **Aslam-** Do you fear that the lack of a definition of hate speech can then be weaponized against certain individuals?
  - **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** So the biggest thing is yes there could be the understanding that it could be weaponized that is why we have it to where it goes to be seen in general body so it makes it to where the decision is up to you if it is valid. If it is not valid then it doesn't go through. Its also going to be, it goes through exec also goes through here, so it allows you guys to be able to make a decision. There are so many safeguard before it gets there if it was something that was weaponized it would get thrown out before it even got here.
- **Hallum-** Would you be open to a friendly amendment to change the wording of Section 4: Point System For Congress Member Misconduct, Subsection 2 Moderate Infractions from "Publicly disrespecting SGA members, school administration, faculty, and/or students." to "Publicly engaging in slander against SGA members, school administration, faculty, and/or students."
  - I would.
- **Benitez Mendoza-** How do you think this bill specifically in the role of hate speech will evolve as Oklahoma evolves especially in the current state of affairs a lot of the protections in place in institutions for minorities including a lot of protections that minority students have when it comes to hate speech they might not be in place next semester or next year, how do you think this bill will evolve as Oklahoma law evolves regarding these types of issues?
  - I would say that because it is vaguely written on purpose which I think has a benefit it sort of moves with how society defines hate speech. You know I couldn't really tell you how this might evolve because of administrative change. I would say that any instance where this might be used in bad faith would be immediately checked by the systems that have been placed, so I wouldn't worry that certain minorities would be negatively affected by this.

*On a motion to extend time for questions by 3 minutes Burnett seconded by Dailey*

- **Schonfield-** Is it in your opinion that with how high the vote requirements are for Big 3 and the committee chairs that the not particularly specific language in the infraction point section is fine because you would have to have unanimity among Big 3 and 6 out of 7 committee chairs agreeing that the defined infraction point was being violated
  - Yes.
- **Lartey-** Under the point system could you define what minor disruptions in meetings is?
  - I would just say that means that you are having a mild disruption during a meeting or something like that like that might apply but I also kept it vague so that might allow the Big 3 to decide how that might be interpreted just in instance that there might be some specific scenario that would like you know not be met if there was a definition.
- **Schonfield-** Is it your opinion that with regard to the minor infractions section specifically the such as the minor disruption during meetings the word such as are very important as it essentially points out that its referencing infractions that are similar or are at a similar level of severity not necessarily that minor disruptions at meetings are the only things that constitute mild unprofessional behavior?

- Yes.

*On a call for debate Burnett seconded by Carter*

*On a motion to objection Morgan seconded by Vedra*

Objection fails 14-17-0 the body enters into debate

Debate

- **Wintory-** This bill we have talked about this bill for the past four meetings in ConAd it has undergone a lot of moderation and we have heard from a lot of people within Congress I feel like if there were issues within the bill I feel like they should have been brought up before this meeting or after the agenda was sent out and I believe this bill seeing as its been looked at by some many people before this it should go through as is.
- **Bento-** I wouldn't really consider myself part of the opponency but the reason that I brought forward a hostile amendment is because I think the amendment is useful for the opportunity to vote upon and it is not necessarily an attack on the legislation itself but to talk to your point still the agenda was sent out yesterday for this legislation and it is quite a big change like it is a new policy I don't think it is really right to say that every one had time to read it but not everyone had time to make changes to it.
- **Hawley-** I just Cody has worked very extensively on this bill. I am not even in ConAd and we have had conversations about this bill and quite frankly this change I think it is important to hold all of us accountable I think this year especially we have seen how holding people accountable is really important within SGA and I think we should all be held to that standard.

*On a motion to move to the previous question Evans seconded by Toyos*

*30-0-0 exit debate*

#### **Authors summation:**

For this bill like I said like what was already mentioned I have contacted several people about this I have worked extensively with ConAd to come to a conclusion on a bill that I think would make most of everyone in this body happy to some extent. I would probably just say that even if you don't like this bill 100%, the act of legislation is an art of compromise. You know you might not get everything in this bill but I think it is the best solution out of all the alternatives and I think that is something to think about.

30-0-0 unanimous pass

*On a motion to see CB-113-33 Hallum seconded by Estrada*

- CB-113-33 "Session 113 Election Appointment Act." (Harris) (Rec of No Rec)

#### **Authors Explanation:**

This bill is basically similar to what we saw last semester it is just appointing individuals to our Representatives and Associate seats. This is based off of the election results too so the people that ran in the election, but they didn't get a seat for the Spring, I had a seat open for the Fall. So they lost by the best which means that they got 6<sup>th</sup> place out of only 5 seats, so that's why they are on this bill and why they were chosen.

7:31 remaining for questions

- **Kathuria-** Is there a way to quantify that these individuals received more votes compared to the prefer not to vote option?
  - **Savage-** So the prefer not to vote option is only an option if someone is running unopposed. If there are more options than those allowed if that makes sense. So let's say that you can vote for 7 and there are 17 people running there is no prefer not to vote option. You can just vote for whoever you want and then nothing after that if that makes sense because you don't have to use all of them.

27-0-0

*On a motion for a 3-minute recess Robinson seconded by Hallum*

### **Follow-Up Reports**

- **Kathuria-** The accommodated testing for all act is going to be seen by GSS exec and GSS next week.

### **Announcements and Comments**

- **Oler-** I just wanted to point out in the heat of the moment we did not recognize Cody Walker is a first-time author.
- **Kathuria-** Guys I want to first off tell you thank you so much for co-sponsoring that piece of resolution to honor me. Each and every one of you means the world to me you know I have gotten the great pleasure to know so many of you in this body and I feel like during my time here in Congress I have built the most relationships with anyone in the body compared to all the other Congress sessions getting to work with each one of you has been amazing but more importantly I am forever going to cherish the lifelong relationships that we have formed together thank you so much for always being there is support me through the good times and the rough times that I have experienced I love each and every one of you so much.
- **Carter-** Women's Health Advocacy is having a meeting this Thursday at Dale Hall 116 at 5pm we are doing a feminine hygiene packing event.
- **Secretary Harris-** If you are a new Representative and I said your name wrong please come see me so I can say it right.
- **Hallum-** I just want to say that I think you did an exceptional job stepping up and chairing tonight good job!
- **Harris-** So next Friday April 25<sup>th</sup> the SGA Congress/ External Affairs and the Econ club will be hosting a minimum wage forum in Dale Hall it will be a discussion/debate with quite a few people. I am not sure they have the full list yet but it will be super fun super interesting moderated by the former mayor Breaa Clark and yeah it is going to be lit. Hopefully people hate each other. No sorry sorry sorry hopefully everyone gets along and is very polite and respectful.
- **Fisher-** Engineering Town Hall is being hosted for anyone who is interested you are more than welcome like what they are doing in the college or anything in the future that you might like. It is on April 22<sup>nd</sup>.
- **Vice Chair Gilson-Bond-** If you are a new member this is the QR code to our GroupMe, please join that is how you get all the info about what is going on. If you have any announcements and comments that you made, please put in the same GroupMe under the announcements tab also too if you did not get a name plate please let me know so I can get that to you, you deserve to have your name seen. As well there is a pile of old ones over here so let's say you were a representative and now you are an associate. I don't want to waste paper so I would much rather you take it home and hang it above your bed over something. I think I have three up there.

### **Final Roll Call**

*On a motion to adjourn Morgan seconded by Evans*

**Adjourn- 9:35 pm**

Chair: Hope Rhoads

Vice Chair: Aaron Gilson-Bond

Secretary: Chloe Harris

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GFXX/GSXX  
Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-07  
SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GFXX-XX/GSXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution to encourage the establishment of a university wide midterm exam limit; providing short title; and providing for distribution.

- Whereas: The University of Oklahoma has a final examination limit of three per day already in place.
- Whereas: Midterms exams can be worth the same amount as a final exam, and sometimes more.
- Whereas: Unforeseen circumstances—like an ice storm—have caused Midterm exams to accumulate, with one student having four in one day.
- Whereas: Students do not have a “dead week” before every midterm exam, so oftentimes midterms are serious sources of stress.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

- Section 1:** Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Midterm Exam Per Day Limit Act of Spring 2025.”
- Section 2:** A midterm exam shall be defined as: Any in person or virtual exam or test occurring between or during the third and fourteenth weeks of the academic semester and noted as a midterm exam or test in the course syllabus.
- Section 3:** The University of Oklahoma shall enact a midterm exam per day limit of two, to be in accordance with The University of Oklahoma’s Policy and Procedure Manual’s (OUPPM) chapter on final examination limits. OUPPM Chapter 5.15.4.3 Section I states, “A student will not be expected to take more than two final examinations in

one day. In cases where a student has three or more exams scheduled for the same day, instructors must offer make-up exams. ... ”

**Section 4:** In the event of three or more midterm exams in one academic day, students must notify the third, and subsequent, instructors--based on the student's class schedule--of the conflict at least ten academic days before the scheduled date of the exam.

**Section 5:** The third and subsequent exams must be rescheduled to a date within two class periods from the initial scheduled date of the exam.

**Section 6:** In the event of an unforeseen circumstance students must notify their professor of the conflict within 24 hours of the notification of the exam being moved. The exam must be scheduled at most for 14 days after the exam takes place to allow for faculty accommodation.

**Section 7:** Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- Mark Morvant, Senior Vice Provost for Student Success
- Sarah Ellis, Vice Provost for Faculty
- Lori Jervis, Faculty Senate Chair

Author(s): Coleman Morgan, Associate

Co-Authors: Caleb Robinson, University Policy Committee Chair  
Elias Hallum, University Policy Committee Vice-Chair

Co-Sponsor(s): Shrey Kathuria, Arts and Sciences Representative

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Hallum seconded by Representative Robinson

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0

Verified by Chair: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-08

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Resolution on the importance of  
improving the Accessibility and Disability Resource  
Center; and providing for distribution.

- Whereas: The University of Oklahoma has 34,523 students enrolled as of Fall 2024.<sup>1</sup>
- Whereas: The University of Oklahoma has over 1,000 students registered with the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center for Spring of 2025.
- Whereas: About 3% of students at the University of Oklahoma use resources provided by the ADRC.
- Whereas: Leadership of the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center shifted in November of 2024.
- Whereas: The University of Oklahoma was approximately 1,000 applications behind on Accessibility and Disability Resource Center accommodation requests as of November of 2024.
- Whereas: 3% percent of the University of Oklahoma student body was not being serviced properly by the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center.
- Whereas: The Accessibility and Disability Resource Center is now caught up on accommodation requests as of March of 2025.
- Whereas: The new Interim Executive Director of the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center is working to improve resources for the general student body.
- Whereas: There is a new process for digital formatting, therefore increasing accessibility.
- Whereas: There is a new procedure incorporated into iAdvise for accommodation notices.

---

<sup>1</sup> “University of Oklahoma Enrollment Summary Report Fall 2024.” Institutional Research & Reporting. *The University of Oklahoma*. 24 Sep. 2024, <https://ou.edu/content/dam/irr/docs/Enrollment%20Statistics/Enrollment%20Summaries/Fall/Enrollment%20Summary%20FA24.pdf>



- Whereas: There is a new guideline incorporated into team training and iAdvise for accommodation verbiage.
- Whereas: There is a new process/agreement incorporated into team training, the ADRC website, and the student packets on the ASL/CART Service Agreement.
- Whereas: There is a new process/agreement incorporated into team training and student packets on the Audio Recording Agreement.
- Whereas: There is a new process added to student packets regarding confidential release information.
- Whereas: There is a new policy/process incorporated into team training and the ADRC website regarding denial and appeal statuses.
- Whereas: There is a new guideline incorporated into team training and the ADRC website outlining documentation guidelines.
- Whereas: There is a new policy/guideline incorporated into team training and the ADRC website regarding flexible attendance.
- Whereas: There is a new guideline incorporated into team training and the ADRC website regarding housing accommodations.
- Whereas: There is a new guideline incorporated into team training and the ADRC website regarding priority registration.
- Whereas: There is a new guideline incorporated into team training regarding reduced course loads.
- Whereas: There is a new process incorporated into team training and the ADRC website regarding temporary accommodations.
- Whereas: There is a new policy in progress regarding accessible course materials.
- Whereas: There is a new agreement in progress regarding equipment guidelines.
- Whereas: There is a new guideline in progress regarding the use of a Personal Care Attendant (PCA).
- Whereas: All ADRC staff receive the following trainings: ADA and Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act; Documentation – Appropriate and Inappropriate; Determining Accommodations; Difference between High School/College Accommodations; Maxient System Process; and Difficult Conversations.

Whereas: These new services work to create a more accessible and inclusive campus environment for all students at the University of Oklahoma.<sup>2</sup>

Whereas: The ADRC computer system for registration and case management is called Maxient.<sup>3</sup>

Whereas: Maxient is a computer system to manage conduct, academic integrity, and Title IX concerns.

Whereas: Maxient is not designed to manage accessibility and disability services.

Whereas: Accessible Information Management (AIM) is a computer software as a service to provide college disability services in the United States.<sup>4</sup>

Whereas: Over 550 organizations and universities use AIM software.

Whereas: AIM is a paperless system that allows a university to configure the system to streamline processes and provide the best fit for students.

Whereas: The implementation cost of AIM is priced based on the number of students served and the complexity level desired of the system.

Whereas: AIM uses a Unified Portal for access, allowing students and staff use from a single site location. Students can request what they need based on eligibilities, and Staff have access based on permissions of their assigned roles.

Whereas: Schools such as Ohio State, Texas A&M, and University of South Carolina all use AIM for their disability services.

Whereas: The AIM system is designed to work directly with accessibility and disability resources, making their registration and operation system direct to follow.

Whereas: The new Interim Executive Director of the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center does not believe that existing campus resources are sufficient for servicing student accommodations.

---

<sup>2</sup> Sebel, Lauren. Personal Interview. 6 Mar. 2025.

<sup>3</sup> “Home.” *Maxient*. 2025, <https://www.maxient.com/>

<sup>4</sup> “Home.” *Accessibility Information Management*. 2025, <https://www.accessiblelearning.com/>

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

- Section 1: Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Accessibility and Disability Resource Center Improvement Resolution.”
- Section 2: Upon the authorization of this resolution, the University of Oklahoma Student Government Association recognizes the continual improvement of the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center and the resolution of student complaints.
- Section 3: The following questions shall be administered via Qualtrics, with all data collected anonymously and kept confidential.

Pre-Survey Disclosure Agreement — Block 1:

OU Accessibility and Disability Research Awareness (ADRC) Feedback Survey Conducted by: Undergraduate Student Congress, Human Diversity Committee Date: 4/8/2025

1. Purpose of the Survey

Thank you for your participation. The purpose of this survey is to gather feedback on the University of Oklahoma’s Accessibility and Disability Resource Center and the accommodations they offer. Your responses will help us identify larger trends regarding how students, staff, and faculty experience ADRC's services and what areas could be improved.

2. Voluntary Participation

Participation in this survey is completely voluntary. You may choose to stop at any time without penalty. If you decide to withdraw, any data collected up to that point will be recorded anonymously and marked incomplete after 24 hours without additional submissions to the survey.

3. Confidentiality and Data Usage

Your responses will be collected anonymously and in aggregate form. All data is kept confidential. Responses will only be used to better understand any greater trends with how OU students, staff, and faculty interact with the ADRC and its services, and how the ADRC can continue to improve their services. We will not share your personal information with third parties without your consent unless required by law.

4. Risk and Benefits

There are no anticipated risks associated with participating in this survey. While there may be no direct benefits to you, your feedback will help us improve student, staff, and faculty accommodation services on campus.

5. Consent to Participate

By proceeding with this survey, you acknowledge that: You have read and understood this disclosure. You voluntarily agree to participate. You understand how your data will be used and stored. If you have any questions about this survey, please contact Gavin Phillips ([gavin.d.phillips-1@ou.edu](mailto:gavin.d.phillips-1@ou.edu)) or Lorelai Radzanowski ([lorelai.n.radzanowski-1@ou.edu](mailto:lorelai.n.radzanowski-1@ou.edu)).

Do you consent to participate in this survey?

- I consent
- I do not consent [Skips to end of survey if selected]

#### ADRC-Specific Questions — Block 2:

How aware are you of the resources that the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center (ADRC) have to offer?

- Not Aware
- Somewhat Aware
- Moderately Aware
- Very Aware
- Extremely Aware

Have you ever contacted the ADRC regarding seeking university accommodations?

- Yes
- No

How did you first learn about the ADRC?

- New Student Orientation
- On-Campus Event
- Another Student
- Other (Please Specify)

If you had to rate your experience in contacting the ADRC in order to obtain a resource or accommodation, how would you?

- 1 (Exemplary)
- 2 (Good)
- 3 (Moderate)
- 4 (Negative)
- 5 (Awful)

How would you rate the resources and accommodations provided for students by the ADRC at the University of Oklahoma?

- 1 (Exemplary)
- 2 (Good)
- 3 (Moderate)
- 4 (Lacking)
- 5 (Awful)

Do you believe that the implementation of virtual office hours would make contacting and obtaining an accommodation from the ADRC easier?

- Yes
- No

Do you believe that the shift to a new processing system to process requests within the ADRC has made contacting and obtaining an accommodation from the ADRC easier?

- Yes
- No

Is there any additional information you would like to share regarding your knowledge and experiences with the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center?

- *Text Entry Box*

#### Conclusion:

Thank you for taking the time to complete this survey. Your input will greatly aid is in improving the services the Accessibility and Disability Resource Center provides to students, staff, and faculty such as yourself. We believe that transparency is crucial to effectively serving OU, as such, all findings from this survey will be shared with the ADRC and the greater University of Oklahoma community.

Updates regarding this survey can be found on the Student Government Association website: [www.ou.edu/sga/university](http://www.ou.edu/sga/university), with newsletters and/or email announcements reporting on the survey's findings, and possible initiatives or legislature that may be developed as a result.

If you have any questions or feedback regarding this survey, please do not hesitate to contact us at [lorelai.n.radzanowski-1@ou.edu](mailto:lorelai.n.radzanowski-1@ou.edu) or [gavin.d.phillips-1@ou.edu](mailto:gavin.d.phillips-1@ou.edu).

Section 4: Upon the passage of this resolution, this survey shall be distributed via; (1) [www.qualtrics.com](http://www.qualtrics.com), released through the University of Oklahoma mass mailing system, 'OUMM,' under the email: [kga@ou.edu](mailto:kga@ou.edu); (2) fliers will be posted in public and frequently trafficked locations on campus, including but not limited to OU's on campus student living residencies; (3) advertising will take place across multiple social media platforms, including the SGA Instagram.

The survey shall be conducted between April 10, 2025 and May 1, 2025.

Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- Lauren Sebel, Accessibility and Disability Resource Center Interim Executive Director
- The Accessibility and Disability Resource Center
- OU Student Life

- OUMM
- President Joseph Harroz
- VP Student Affairs
- Accessibility and Opportunity (Director)

Author(s): Lorelai Radzanowski, Associate Member  
Gavin Phillips, Associate Member

Co-Author(s): Lauren Sebel, Accessibility and Disability Resource Center Interim Executive  
Director

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Toyos seconded by Representative Estrada

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION XXXX

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GS25

April 10, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-09  
SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GS25-16

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution recognizing the hard work  
and leadership of Congress Representative Shrey  
Kathuria.

- Whereas: Shrey Kathuria has been a Congress Representative since Fall 2021; and
- Whereas: While serving in Congress, Representative Kathuria has authored 14 pieces of legislation; and
- Whereas: Many of these pieces of legislation focus on uplifting different communities and groups across campus and creating a positive environment for all students; and
- Whereas: Representative Kathuria has prioritized making the SGA process more transparent and clearer to new members and his constituency; and
- Whereas: Representative Kathuria has prioritized notifying and informing the student body of SGA decisions and ensuring he is serving the interests of his constituents by maintaining an open line of communication with all students; and
- Whereas: Representative Kathuria has encouraged students to become more involved in SGA and other forms of civic engagement on campus; and
- Whereas: Representative Kathuria has prioritized making his peers feel respected and heard throughout SGA; and

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA UNDERGRADUATE  
STUDENT CONGRESS AND THE GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE, CONCURRING  
THEREIN THAT:

**Section 1:** Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Resolution  
Recognizing Congress Representative Shrey Kathuria”

**Section 2:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association recognizes Congress Representative Shrey Kathuria for his continued hard work and leadership in serving the student body in his role in Congress.

**Section 3:** Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- President Joseph Harroz Jr. of the University of Oklahoma
- David Surratt, Vice President of Student Affairs and Dean of Students
- Quy Nguyen, Director of Student Life and Assistant Dean of Students
- OU Daily
- OU Nightly

**Author(s):** Rin Ferraro, GSS Vice Chair

**Co-Sponsor(s)** Elias Hallum, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Michael Wintory, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Aaron Gilson-Bond, Undergraduate Student Congress Vice-Chair  
Cody Walker, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Caleb Robinson, University Policy Committee Chair  
Kayla Hawley, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Hayden Vedra, Earth and Energy District Representative  
Cole Morgan, Engineering District Representative  
Nicholas Iglehart, Associate  
Ben Keupen, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Hope Rhoads, Undergraduate Student Congress Chair  
Chloe Harris, Undergraduate Student Congress Secretary  
Jacob Schonfield, Ways and Means Committee Chair  
Andy Wang, Business District Representative  
Mecca Fisher, Engineering District Representative  
Cal Smith, CLA Co-Chair  
Mercer Martin, CLA Co-Chair  
Baylor Savage, SGA Member  
Henry Christiansen, Business District Representative  
Kyle Oler, Sustainability Committee Chair  
Aydan Pruitt, Business District Representative  
Michael Granato, Associate  
Cade Walker, Engineering District Representative  
Jolie Bolanos, Associate  
Ava Burnett, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Parker Means, Academic Affairs District Representative  
Owen Cunningham, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Madeline Rapp, Business District Representative  
Reese Ball, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Alexandra Toyos, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Marilou Bento, External Affairs Committee Chair  
Leo Carter, Fine Arts District Representative  
Nicole Estrada, Arts and Sciences District



Kyle Martin, Congressional Administration Chair  
Isaiah Wick, Business District Representative  
Hayden Bertotti, Engineering District Representative  
Hudson Harris, Engineering District Representative  
Mecca Fisher, Engineering District Representative  
Perla Gruenstein, Associate  
Aniketh Koneru, Human Diversity Committee Chair  
Lorelai Radzanowski, Arts and Sciences District Representative  
Gavin Phillips, Associate  
Jack McCarty, Associate  
Elizabeth Duncan, Business Representative  
Avery Dunlap, SGA President  
Ellie Wolthuis, Chief of Staff

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Christiansen seconded by Robinson

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GXXX/GSXX  
Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-10  
SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GXXX-XX/GSXX-XX

**AS INTRODUCED**

A Concurrent Resolution recommending the  
Oklahoma State Legislature maintain current laws  
regarding weapons on campus; and providing for  
distribution.

Whereas: Firearms on campus have a chilling effect on associational freedoms and harm the University's compelling interest in free academic discourse<sup>5</sup>; and

Whereas: Oklahoma has a gun violence death rate of 19.8 per 100,00, with the national average of 13.7 per 100,000 persons<sup>6</sup>; and

Whereas: Oklahoma has the 13<sup>th</sup> highest rate of gun violence in the U.S.<sup>7</sup>; and

Whereas: Currently, Oklahoma state law allows universities and colleges to make their own rules regarding campus gun carry; and

Whereas: In the present legislative session, no bills have been introduced to change current regulations regarding weapons on campus, despite efforts in past legislative sessions; and

---

<sup>5</sup> Shaundra K Lewis, *Crossfire on Compulsory Campus Carry Laws: When the First and Second Amendments Collide*, 102 Iowa L.

Rev. 2109 (2017).

<sup>6</sup> Gramlich, John. "What the Data Says about Gun Deaths in the U.S." *Pew Research Center*, Pew Research Center, 5 Mar. 2025, [www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/03/05/what-the-data-says-about-gun-deaths-in-the-us/](http://www.pewresearch.org/short-reads/2025/03/05/what-the-data-says-about-gun-deaths-in-the-us/).

<sup>7</sup> "Stats of the States - Firearm Mortality." *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention*, CDC, 1 Mar. 2022, [www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/sosmap/firearm\\_mortality/firearm.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/pressroom/sosmap/firearm_mortality/firearm.htm).

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS AND THE GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE, CONCURRING THEREIN THAT:

**Section 1:** Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Campus Safety Resolution of 2025.”

**Section 2:** The Student Government Association believes campus safety is a priority to students and any changes to current policy would not only reduce campus safety and endanger lives but would also pose a significant detriment to the learning environment.

**Section 3:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association encourages the state legislature to maintain current law regarding weapons on campus, in which the University President has the discretion to allow non-law enforcement personnel to carry weapons on campus when individual circumstances warrant it.

**Section 3:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association strongly opposes any changes to state law regarding weapons on college and university campuses.

**Section 4:** Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- The University of Oklahoma Board of Regents
- President Joseph Harroz
- Dr. David Surratt, Vice President of Student Affairs and Dean of Students
- OUPD Chief Nathaniel Tarver

**Author(s):** Marilou Bento, Chair of External Affairs  
Weslie Griffin, Member of SGA

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Wang seconded by Representative Carter

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE

SENATE SESSION GSXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-11

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GSXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution to increase availability and engagement with sustainable water consumption practices at the University of Oklahoma; and providing for distribution.

Whereas: On average, roughly 18 water bottles per day and 6500 per year are saved on campus through touch-free water stations.

Whereas: Students on OU's campus were found to favor touch-free water fountains with green filter statuses displayed over touch-free fountains with orange or red filter statuses, or that did not display filter statuses.

Whereas: From 176 responses to a sustainability survey at OU, 84% of people on OU's campus use a reusable water bottle and 34% of people refill their water bottles on OU's campus multiple times a day, 80% of these at a water bottle refill station.

Whereas: Out of 176 respondents, 6% of people use multiple single-use plastic water bottles per day. However, 48% of people who do not currently use the water bottle refill stations would use the ones on campus if they were to get a reusable water bottle.

Whereas: Out of 168 respondents, 86% would like to see more refillable water bottle stations on OU's campus.

Whereas: Out of 169 respondents to a sustainability survey at OU, 21% of students did not know that we have water bottle refill stations or do not know where they are on campus.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION THAT:

**Section 1:** Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Sustainable Water Bottle Resolution.”

**Section 2:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association propose two actions to improve sustainable practices of water usage on OU’s campus: 1) OU’s Facilities regularly maintain touch-free water fountain statuses (enabling the filter display to show green as opposed to orange or red), offering students peace of mind about the cleanliness of the water. 2) Implementing touch-free water fountains in two buildings they are most sought after as found by the campus-wide survey: the National Weather Center and the Physical Sciences Center.

**Section 3:** Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- OU Daily
- OU Nightly
- OU Board of Regents
- Facilities management
- Matt Rom
- Sarah Bellew

Author(s): Arianna Jordan, Graduate Student Senate Sustainability Committee  
Abigail Triemstra, Graduate Student Senate Sustainability Committee  
Caden Smith, Graduate Student Senate Sustainability Committee Chair

Co-Author(s): Paulina Castillo, Graduate Student Senate Sustainability Committee

Co-Sponsor(s): Jordan Norris, Graduate Student Senate Chair  
Nicholas Iglehart, Associate

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Vedra seconded by Representative Duncan

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE

SENATE SESSION GFXF/GSXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL RESOLUTION CR-113-12

SENATE RESOLUTION NO. GFXF-XX/GSXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution urging the OU Testing Center to increase flexibility for students scheduling exams; and providing for distribution.

- Whereas: In the past including the Fall 2024 semester, students with testing accommodations were able to schedule their exams at the exact start time of their classes or anytime between the testing hours from 8:15 a.m. to 4:45 p.m.; and
- Whereas: In the Spring 2025 semester the testing center switched to a block scheduling system that only allowed students to sign up for exams at 8:30 a.m., 10:30 a.m., 1:00 p.m., and 3:00 p.m.<sup>8</sup>; and
- Whereas: The block scheduling system implemented by the OU testing center has decreased flexibility in what times students with testing accommodations can take exams; and
- Whereas: In an email sent by the OU Testing Center on February 3<sup>rd</sup>, 2025, students with testing accommodations were told, “Our facility is specifically designed for students who need exam proctoring. Accommodated students can use our center if their professor cannot provide accommodations in another location;” and
- Whereas: In an email sent by the OU Testing Center on February 3<sup>rd</sup> 2025, students with testing accommodations were instructed, “If your professor requires you to begin at a specific time other than the block times above, please work with him to find an alternative solution;” and
- Whereas: Professors have been urged by the OU Testing Center to take on the burden of providing spaces for students with testing accommodations for taking exams; and
- Whereas: Students with testing accommodations at the University of Oklahoma deserve to be able to take their exams in timely manner without barriers; and

---

<sup>8</sup> <https://www.ou.edu/accessandopportunity/testing-center>

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA UNDERGRADUATE  
STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION:

**Section 1:** Title: This resolution shall be known and may be cited as the “Accommodated  
Testing Accessibility For All Act”

**Section 2:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association urges the OU Testing  
Center to remove the block scheduling system.

**Section 3:** The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association urges the OU Testing  
Center to return to the system which allows students with testing accommodations to  
take exams at the start of their class time through the testing hours of 8:15 a.m. to 5:00  
p.m.

**Section 3:** Copies of this resolution will be sent to:

- Lauren Sebel, Interim Director of the Accessibility and Disability Resource  
Center
- Diana Awour, Assistant Director of Student Support
- Donna Lewis, Assistant Director of Communications and Special Projects
- Tina Henderson, Operations Manager of the OU Testing Center

Author(s): Shrey Kathuria, College of Arts and Sciences Representative

Co-Sponsor(s): Elias Hallum, College of Arts and Sciences Representative  
Kayla Hawley, College of Arts and Sciences Representative

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Hallum seconded by Representative Morgan

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_



THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE

SENATE SESSION GSXX/GFXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-26

SENATE BILL GSXX-XX/GFXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Legislation clarifying the advice and consent procedures for Search Committees; providing for short title, providing for codification, and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Search Committee Clarification Act.”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to clarify the procedures for appointing individuals to Search Committees.
- Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association’s Code Annotated Title III Chapter 2 Section 1 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a ~~striketrough~~. All items added shall be denoted with an underline.

**Chapter III-2 The President**

- 1. Power.** The executive power of the SGA shall be vested in the SGA President.<sup>1</sup>
  - a. Power to Make Appointments.** The SGA President shall have the power, with the advice and consent of the Legislative Branch, to nominate and appoint all officers of the SGA not otherwise provided for. The SGA President shall have the power to establish Executive Staff Positions, to assist them in fulfilling their presidential duties, with the consent of the Legislative Branch. The SGA President shall have the sole power to appoint personnel to fill these positions.<sup>2</sup>
    - i. Appointment of the General Counsel.** General counsel shall be appointed by the SGA President, with the advice and consent of the SGA Legislative Branch. General counsel shall be recommended to the SGA President by a committee composed of: the outgoing general counsel; a representative named by each house of the SGA Legislative Branch; and a representative named by the Vice

President for Student Affairs. If the SGA President chose some other candidate, such candidate would not be recommended by the selection committee, as required. An Interim General Counsel, whose appointment has been initiated, may remain in office until confirmed or rejected.<sup>3</sup>

ii. **Appointment to Search Committees.** Representatives to Search Committees shall be nominated by the SGA President and approved by the Chair of the Undergraduate Student Congress and the Chair of the Graduate Student Senate. This can be approved through email or an in-person meeting.

b. **Interim Vacancies.** The SGA President shall have the power to fill all vacancies that may happen during the recess of the Legislative Branch. The interim appointment shall expire at the end of the Legislative Branch recess. An Interim General Counsel, whose appointment has been initiated, may remain in office until confirmed or rejected.<sup>4</sup>

**Section 4:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Authors: President Avery Dunlap, Student Government Association  
Chair Kyle Martin, Undergraduate Student Congress

Co-Authors: Chief of Staff Ellie Wolthuis, Student Government Association

Co-Sponsor(s):

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Hawkins seconded by Representative Christiansen

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE

SENATE SESSION GSXX/GFXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-27

SENATE BILL GSXX-XX/GFXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

An Act submitting the Fall 2025 Unscheduled  
Holiday; Providing for short title, providing for  
codification, and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT  
ASSOCIATION:

- Section 1: Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Red River Holiday Act of 2025.”
- Section 2: Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to officially submit an unscheduled holiday to the University of Oklahoma President, Joseph Harroz Jr., for approval pursuant to Section 5.15 of the Board of Regents policy manual.
- Section 3: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association officially submits Friday, October 10<sup>th</sup>, 2025, as the Fall 2025 Student Holiday.
- Section 4: This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.
- Authors: President Avery Dunlap, Student Government Association  
Chair Hope Rhoads, Undergraduate Student Congress  
Chair Jordan Norris, Graduate Student Senate  
Chair Kennedy Corbin, Campus Activities Council  
Chief of Staff Ellie Wolthuis, Student Government Association

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Morgan seconded by Representative Hallum

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXI

April 15th, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GSXX

April 10th, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-28  
SENATE BILL GS25-15

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Legislation appointing Co-Chairs  
for the Crimson Leadership Association; providing for short title,  
providing for codification, and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT  
ASSOCIATION:

**Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Matin-Toyos Appointment Act”

**Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be name and appoint Co-Chairs for the Crimson Leadership Association who will serve the entirety of the 2025-2026 academic year.

**Section 3:** In accordance with the SGACA Title 3, Chapter 6, Section 3, subsections b, the Co-Chairs shall be appointed by the Vice President and the previous year’s Co-Chairs, with approval of the President, and advice and consent from the Legislature.

The following nominees shall be named and appointed to be the CLA Co-Chairs:

- Zaber Matin
- Alexandra Toyos

**Section 4:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): President Avery Dunlap

Co-Author(s): Mercer Martin, Crimson Leadership Association Co-Chair  
Cal Smith, Crimson Leadership Association Co-Chair

Submitted on a motion by: Representative Duncan seconded by Representative Harris

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS

CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE

SENATE SESSION GSXX/GFXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-29

SENATE BILL GSXX-XX/GFXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Legislation making various updates to Title X - Finance; providing for short title; providing for purpose; amending Title X Chapter 1 Section 2; amending Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection d; amending Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection e; amending Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection f number i; amending Title X Chapter 2 Section 3; amending Title X Chapter 4 Section 7 Subsection e; amending Title X Chapter 4 Section 10; and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION:

**Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Budget Procedures Act of 2025”

**Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to update Title X of the Code Annotated to reflect current best practices.

**Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 1 Section 2 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

~~2. Reimbursement/Direct Payment. Each requested reimbursement/direct payment shall be due thirty (30) days after the issuance of an invoice/receipt or by the end of the current semester, whichever occurs first with the following exception: If extenuating circumstances arise and the thirty (30) day deadline fails to be met, a letter explaining the circumstances may be submitted to the Chair of the SGA Budgetary Committee. The letter and circumstances shall be viewed by the SGA Budgetary Committee, or in the absence of the Committee, by the Chair. All requests~~

~~must be submitted to SGA for reimbursement/direct payment by 4:00 P.M. on the last day of regular classes in the semester when the cost was incurred. The end of the fiscal year shall be the last day of regular classes in the spring semester of each year.~~

**Section 4:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection d is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

d. **Non-Allowable Items.** The following expenditures may not be made with SGA funds, and shall be considered Misuse of Funds (Note: this list is not intended to be restrictive):

- i. Scholarships.
- ii. The purchase of tickets for any event organized by a University department, RSO or administration organization.
- iii. Any donations to charitable causes or activities.
- iv. Endorsement of political candidates or causes (i.e. campus, local, state, national, or worldwide).
- v. Telephone expenditures for rental, installation, or long distance service, except with prior approval of the SGA Budgetary Committee.
- vi. Gifts, cards, prizes, gift certificates.
- vii. Personal items which will belong to an individual and are not necessary or pertinent to the functionality, promotion, or professionalism of an organization, such as, but not limited to: mugs, lamps, personalized office supplies, appliances, giveaways, plaques, trophies, monetary awards, membership pins, etc.
- viii. Personal clothing of any nature with the exception of clothing that will be considered capital investment.
- ix. Alcoholic beverages or medications.
- x. Knives, guns, firearms, ammunition, and weapons of any kind.
- xi. All University of Oklahoma sanctioned parking permits.
- xii. Reimbursement for postage charges which were not processed through O.U. Central Mail Service.
- ~~xiii. Any expenditure, by any student organization, of SGA funds for purpose or uses prohibited by the Regents Policy Statement of December 1992.~~
- xiv. Requests for Salaries and Stipends not in accordance with Title XH, Chapter 5 of this Code and the most current Salaries and Stipends Act.
- xv. The following decorations:
  - Confetti
  - Balloons
  - Loose Glitter



**Section 5:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection e number is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

e. **Allowable Items.** The following expenditures may be made with SGA funds (Note: this list is not intended to be restrictive):

- i. Office Supplies. The university awards contracts to vendors, which in turn, offers discounts to the university. ~~Catalogs are available in the SGA office.~~
- ii. Printing. University policies require departments/organizations to utilize the services of university copy centers. Organizations needing the services of off-campus vendors must first secure written permission from the Office of Printing Services.
- ~~iii. Permanent fixtures and publications. All fixtures and publications (25 copies or more) must state "printing funded by SGA" when used to state the organizational purpose or to promote the participation in any program or activity for which the student organization receives funding from SGA.~~
- iv. Advertising in Student Media publications. Ads must pertain directly to the organization and state "funded/sponsored by SGA".
- v. Postage. State law forbids the reimbursement for postage stamps. All mail must be delivered to the SGA Office. A university address must be in the upper left corner: the organizations name, 900 Asp Avenue OMU 181, Box XXX (see list available in SGA office), Norman, OK 73019.
- vi. Rental of facilities and equipment.
- vii. Entry fees for intramurals and tournaments for registered student organizations sports clubs.
- viii. Organizational dues. However, individual dues are not permissible.
- ix. Registration fees for conferences and workshops for OU students and their advisor. The conference/workshop must pertain directly to the registered student organization.
- x. Lodging for conferences, workshops and tournaments for OU students and their advisor. The conference/workshop or tournament must pertain directly to the registered student organization or sports club.
- xi. Transportation. The purpose of the trip must pertain directly to the registered student organization.
- xii. Services provided by DJ's, performers, entertainers, etc. A signed contract must be obtained before the event.
- xiii. Security for events.
- xiv. Food for organizational banquets, dinners, meetings, programs, etc. Meal reimbursements for only select individuals are not allowable except for travel purposes.
- xv. Rental of clothing or regalia for multicultural or international events, performance events, or athletic groups.

- xvi. Clothing for all student groups on the basis of capital investment.
- xvii. All decorations not listed in section d of this bill.
- xviii. Refreshments for organizational meetings and programs.
- ~~xix. Marketing items, subject to approval by the Ways and Means Committee or Budgetary Committee — the purpose of which items shall be to promote the organization and shall only be used to promote the events and activities of the specific organization to which these funds are allocated.~~
- ~~xx. Banners promoting an organization or university event. Each RSO will be limited to two banners per year.~~
- xxi. Subscription renewals.
- xxii. OU solicitation permits.
- xxiii. Guest speaker fees.
- xxiv. Student organizations may use funding for marketing items, publications, banners, and permanent fixtures under the following guidelines:
  - Any publication (25 copies or more) or permanent fixture used to state the organization's purpose or promote participation in a funded program or activity.
  - Marketing items, subject to approval by the Ways and Means Committee or Budgetary Committee, must be used exclusively to promote the organization's events and activities.
  - Each registered student organization (RSO) may receive funding for up to two banners per year to promote the organization or a university event.
  - Any of these uses of funds must state: "Funded by SGA."

**Section 6:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 2 Section 2 Subsection f number i is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

- i. **Capital Investments.** Items purchased by an organization as Capital Investment are intended for long-term use by the organization, including, but not limited to, electronic devices and furniture. They are the property of the University of Oklahoma. ~~Therefore, they must be accounted for by submitting a verification of inventory to SGA. Organizations must submit an inventory of all university property in their possession to SGA every year. Loss or damage of an item on loan to an organization shall result in a fine equal to the purchased price of the item.~~

**Section 7:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 2 Section 3 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

**3. Release of Funds.** The signed approvals of the individuals listed are required for the release of funds from the accounts indicated:

Account Name	Authorization to Sign
SGA Undergraduate Student Congress	Chair, Student Congress; Vice-Chair, Student Congress
Housing Center Student Association	President, Housing Center Student Association
SGA Appropriations	Act of Legislation
SGA Executive Branch	SGA President; SGA Vice-President
SGA Executive Branch Contingency Fund	SGA President and SGA Vice-President
SGA General Operations	SGA President
SGA Special Projects Bureau Line Item	SGA President and Chair, Student Congress and Chair, Graduate Student Senate and Chair, Congress Ways & Means and Chair, Senate Ways & Means
Student Bar Association	President, Student Bar Association
Campus Activities Council	Chair, Campus Activities Council
SGA Advertising & Publications	SGA President Chair, Student Congress Chair, Graduate Student Senate
SGA Greek Affairs	President, Panhellenic or President, Interfraternity Council or President, Multicultural Greek Council or President, National Panhellenic
SGA Budgetary Committee	Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee
SGA Graduate Student Senate Grants	Chair, Graduate Student Senate
SGA Graduate Student Senate Grants Contingency	Chair, Graduate Student Senate
SGA Graduate Student Senate Contingency Fund	Chair, Graduate Student Senate and Chair, Senate Ways & Means

<del>SGA Graduate Student Senate</del>	<del>Chair, Graduate Student Senate; Vice-Chair, Graduate Student Senate</del>
<del>SGA Undergraduate Student Congress Contingency Fund</del>	<del>Chair, Student Congress and Chair, Congress Ways &amp; Means</del>
<del>Student Initiatives Fund</del>	<del>Act of Legislation</del>
<del>SGA Elections</del>	<del>Chair, SGA Elections</del>
<del>SGA Salaries &amp; Stipends</del>	<del>Act of Legislation</del>
<del>SGA Judicial Affairs</del>	<del>SGA General Counsel Chief Justice, SGA Superior Court</del>

All other groups, agencies, or organizations shall have, as the person(s) designated financially responsible, those listed on the signature card with the SGA Accounting office. These include, but are not limited to, the President, Vice-President, Secretary, or Treasurer.

**Signatures Required.** Upon accepting any appointed, designated, or elected position allowing for the release of funds as noted in Sec. 3, above, the person so appointed, designated, or elected shall sign the following: "I have read the SGA Account Information and understand the policies, restrictions on expenditures, and the fine system. I understand that I will be held personally responsible for any deficit or misuse of funds. I understand that I am responsible for compliance with all relevant SGA law. I understand that I take full responsibility for all university property on loan to my organization, including but not limited to, all items purchased as capital investments, and that the loss or damage of any of these items due to the misuse or improper care shall result in my organization being charged for their replacement. I also understand that all purchase order invoices/receipts must be itemized and are due within five (5) working days. I understand all reimbursement/direct payment receipts must be the original, itemized receipt and that they shall be due thirty (30) days after the issuance of the invoice/receipt, excluding internal University transfers, or by the end of the current semester, whichever comes first with the following exception: If extenuating circumstance arise and the thirty (30) day deadline fails to be met, a letter explaining the circumstances may be submitted to the Chair of the SGA Budgetary Committee. The letter and circumstances shall be viewed by the SGA Budgetary Committee, or in the absence of the Committee, by the Chair. All requests must be submitted to SGA for reimbursement/direct payment by 4:00 P.M. on the last day of the regular classes in the semester when the cost was incurred. The end of the fiscal year shall be the last day of regular classes in the spring semester of each year."

All persons who have been appointed, designated, or elected under who, as noted in Sec. 3 above, can sign for their release of funds must sign the financial card and submit a signed advisor's card before any appropriated funds will be released. Any aforementioned member of the SGA who fails to sign the financial card shall not serve in any position, appointed or elected, listed in Sec. 3, above.

**Section 8:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 4 Section 7 Subsection e is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

e. **Records**

- i. **Electronic Archives.** At the conclusion of the budgetary process, the SGA Budgetary Committee shall store all application and allocation information ~~in electronic form on a CD or other removable storage medium~~ electronically and provide one copy to the Staff Assistant and one copy to the Student Affairs accountant.
- ii. **Annual Report.** At the conclusion of the Primary Budget Process, the Chair of the SGA Budgetary Committee shall produce an annual budget report containing the timeline, allocations, and procedural information used for the most recent Primary Budget Process. This report shall be made public to all students at the University of Oklahoma and copies shall be sent to the Chair of Undergraduate Student Congress, Chair of Graduate Student Senate, Campus Activities Council Chair, President of SGA, and the Student Affairs accountant.

**Section 9:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 4 Section 10 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

~~10. **Reimbursement/Direct Payment.** Each requested reimbursement/direct payment, with the exception of internal University transfers, shall be due thirty (30) days after the issuance of an invoice or by the end of the current semester, whichever occurs first with the following exception: If extenuating circumstances arise and the thirty (30) day deadline fails to be met, a letter explaining the circumstances may be submitted to the Chair of the SGA Budgetary Committee. The letter and circumstances shall be viewed by the SGA Budgetary Committee, or in the absence of the Committee, by the Chair. All requests shall be submitted to SGA for reimbursement/direct payment by 4:00 P.M. on the last day of regular classes in the semester when the cost was incurred. The end of the fiscal year shall be the last day of regular classes in the spring semester of each year.~~

**Section 10:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Jacob Schonfield, Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee

Co-Author(s): Elizabeth Duncan, Vice-Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee  
SGA Budgetary Committee

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Fisher seconded by Representative Duncan

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate:  
Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GSXX/GFXX

Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-30  
SENATE BILL GSXX-XX/GFXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Legislation making it so that the Ways and Means Committee may more easily be removed should they fail in their duties; providing for short title; providing for purpose; amending Title X Chapter 4 Section 5; amending Title X Chapter 4 Section 8; and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION:

**Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Budget Review Procedures Act of 2025”

**Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to update Title X of the Code Annotated to make the review process for funding allocations clearer and make it easier to remove any member of the WAM committee for failure to perform the tasks they are responsible for.

**Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 4 Section 5 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

**5. Review Process**

**a. Timeline.** Within twenty-four (24) hours of the conclusion of any Ways and Means Committee meeting where funding allocation takes place, the Ways and

Means Committee Chair must alert all RSOs who had a request considered in that meeting as to the status of their application. Within forty-eight (48) hours 5 business days of receiving notice of allocation any organization may submit a formal review request to the Chair of the Budgetary Committee for a reconsideration of allocation by the SGA Budgetary Committee. If the ~~Chair~~ SGA Budgetary Committee denies the request, the organization may submit an appeal directly to the Student Body President.

- b. **Requirements/~~i~~Ineligibility.** In order for an allocation to be considered for review, an organization must present a reasonable argument as to why the Committee was biased in its allocation .
- c. **Submission.** The written appeal shall be submitted electronically directly to the Ways and Means Chair~~man~~ and;
  - i. shall detail key organization information including name, leadership, contact information, and sponsor;
  - ii. shall state the original requested amount;
  - iii. shall state the amount funded by SGA;
  - iv. shall detail thorough reasoning as to why the allocation should be reconsidered.
- d. **Ad Hoc Committee**
  - i. **Membership.** Membership of the Review Board shall consist of the Student Body Vice President, Chair of the Undergraduate Student Congress, Chair of the Graduate Student Senate, Chair of the Campus Activities Council, and will be chaired by the Student Body President. The Ways and Means Chair~~man~~ shall serve on the committee in an advisory role and will not have voting privileges.

**Section 4:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 4 Section 8 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

- 8. **Enforcement.** If the stipulations of this Title and the Budgetary Procedures Act are not followed, as determined by a majority of the Undergraduate Student Congress Executive Committee, which is made up of the Congress Chair, Congress Vice-Chair, Congress Secretary, Congressional Administration Committee Chair, Congress Outreach Safety and Concerns Committee Chair, External Affairs Committee Chair, Human Diversity Committee Chair, Sustainability Committee Chair, University Policy Committee Chair, and Ways and Means Committee Chair~~SGA executive committee, then an Ad Hoc committee shall be created~~ the Congress Big Three (3), consisting of the Chairs of Undergraduate Student Congress, Vice-Chair of Undergraduate Student Congress, and Secretary of Undergraduate Student Congress and the Graduate Student Senate, and two (2) members of each House, selected by the respective chairs of each House. This committee shall be chaired by the President of the SGA. This committee shall establish a new timeline and complete the budget process. Undergraduate Student Congress shall have the power to expel from Undergraduate Student Congress the Chair of Ways and Means of Undergraduate Student Congress, the Vice Chair of Ways and Means of

Undergraduate Student Congress, and any other ~~Undergraduate Student Congress member of the SGA Budgetary Committee~~ member of Ways and Means of Undergraduate Student Congress for not abiding by the stipulations of this Title and the Budget Procedures Act, by simple majority. Graduate Student Senate shall have the power to expel from Graduate Student Senate the Chair of Ways and Means of Graduate Student Senate, the Vice Chair of Ways and Means of Graduate Student Senate, and the Graduate Student Senate member of the SGA Budgetary Committee for not abiding by the stipulations of this Title and the Budget Procedures Act.

**Section 5:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Jacob Schonfield, Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee

Co-Author(s): Elizabeth Duncan, Vice-Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee  
SGA Budgetary Committee

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Fisher seconded by Representative Duncan

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_



THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

GRADUATE STUDENT SENATE  
SENATE SESSION GSXX/GFXX  
Month DD, YYYY

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-31  
SENATE BILL GSXX-XX/GFXX-XX

AS INTRODUCED

An Act of Legislation making it so that non-student employee's salaries may more easily be adjusted by the legislature; providing for short title; providing for purpose; amending Title X Chapter 5 Section 1 Subsection 2; amending Title X Chapter 5 Section 1 Subsection 1; amending Title X Chapter 5 Section 2; and providing for an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “SGA Non-Student Salaries Layout Act of 2025”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to update Title X of the Code Annotated to allow more flexibility with regard to the payment of the SGA Staff Assistant, the SGA Budget and Account Representative, and OU Student Legal Services Staff Attorney, as well as eliminate the existence of SGA Poll Operators as that position is now obsolete.
- Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 5 Section 1 Subsection 2 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

Section 2: The following positions shall receive a salary at a rate determined in the salaries and stipends act of their term: SGA Staff Assistant, SGA Budget and Account Representative, OU Student Legal Services Staff Attorney.

- Associates of General Counsel: \$11.00 x 25 hours/week x 34 weeks
- General Counsel: \$12.00 x 25 hours/ week x 48 weeks
- Chief of Staff: \$10.00 x 10 hours/week

The Chief of Staff shall be appointed by the SGA President following an open application process.

- Election Commission Staff: \$50x5 persons x 2 general elections; plus, if needed, \$20x5 people for any runoff or special election (up to three of the aforementioned)
- Election Commissioner: \$500/Spring General Election, \$500/Fall General Election; plus, if needed, \$50 for any runoff or special election (up to three of the aforementioned)
- ~~Election Poll Operators: 20 Individuals per election, \$20x20x 2 elections~~  
~~Election Commission Members, Chair, and Poll Operators are appointed according to the Elections Procedures Act.~~
- SGA Staff Assistant-II: ~~\$16.40/hour x 2080 Hours~~
- SGA Budget and Account Representative-II: ~~\$17.66/hour x 2080 hours~~

The above positions are selected based upon applications received through the HR website, jobs.ou.edu. Selections are made by the SGA President, SGA Vice President, Congress Chair, Senate Chair, and CAC Chair, as well as their staff supervisor in Student Affairs. Increases in pay are given at the discretion of the aforementioned officials. Additionally, if university wide raises are mandated, the above positions shall receive those increases.

- Student Organization Resource Officers: \$10 x 10 hours/week per officers
- SGA Graphic Designer/Webmaster: \$10 x 10 hours/ week x 34 weeks

The above positions are selected based upon applications received through the HR website, jobs.ou.edu. Selections are made by the SGA President, SGA Vice President, Congress Chair, Senate Chair, and CAC Chair.

- ~~OU Student Legal Services Staff Attorney: \$41,200 per year.~~

The OU SLS Staff Attorney shall be hired according to the policies outlined in Title XI. The staff attorney shall be eligible for benefits at a value of the current fringe benefits rate per OU HR policy.

Any position not enumerated above shall not receive a salary/stipend.

- a. **Student Parking Appeals Board Judges.** The Student Parking Appeals Board Judges are appointed at minimum wage, but not funded by SGA; the positions are reimbursed through the Parking and Transit Office.
- b. **SGA Graphic Designer/Webmaster.** The duties of the SGA Graphic Designer/ Webmaster will be to assist the all branches of SGA to create design products, including web graphics, posters, and flyers, and to maintain and update their respective websites as well as any other online content that may be asked of them.

**Section 4:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 5 Section 1 Subsection 1 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

Section 1: The following positions shall receive a stipend:

- Campus Activities Council Chair: \$435/month
- Chair of Congress: \$435/month
- Chair of Senate: \$435/month
- Chair of SGA Budgetary Committee: \$350/month
- Secretary of Congress: \$350/month
- Secretary of Senate: \$350/month
- Student Bar Association President: \$350/month
- SGA President: \$520/month
- SGA Vice President: \$435/month
- Vice Chair of Congress: \$350/month
- Vice Chair of Senate: \$350/month
- Graduate Student Senate Ways and Means Chair: \$350/Fall Grant Cycle  
\$350/Spring Grant Cycle

The pay periods of the above positions will be designated as follows:

- Campus Activities Council Chair, Chair of Congress, Chair of Senate, Secretary of Congress, Secretary of Senate, Student Bar Association President, Vice Chair of Congress, and Vice Chair of Senate shall be compensated monthly with compensation periods beginning August 1st and perpetuating monthly until April 30th.
- The SGA President and SGA Vice President shall be compensated monthly with compensation periods beginning December 1st and perpetuating monthly until November 30th.
- The Chair of SGA Budgetary Committee shall be compensated monthly with compensation periods beginning the month of their appointment by the SGA Congress and ending April 30th.
- The GSS Ways and Means Chair stipend is to be paid out at the end of October and February, corresponding with the deadlines for the Fall and Spring grant deadlines.
- All SGA officers who receive a stipend or salary shall be eligible to receive compensation at their usual rates of pay during the summer, which shall

consist of the months June, July. This pay will be given at the discretion of Student Affairs Staff, within the parameters of the budget. The SGA officers who receive a stipend shall only be eligible to receive such compensation while executing their official duties in the course of fulfilling their office hours. ~~The GSS Ways and Means Chair stipend is to be paid out at the end of October and February, corresponding with the deadlines for the Fall and Spring grant deadlines.~~

Stipends are reflective of the weekly office hour requirement for each position. Stipends are based on performing the appropriate number of weekly office hours, as follows:

- All positions receiving \$520 per month are expected twelve office hours.
- All positions receiving \$435 per month are expected ten office hours.
- All positions receiving \$350 per month are expected eight office hours.

**Section 5:** AMENDATORY: The University of Oklahoma Student Government Association Code Annotated Title X Chapter 5 Section 2 is amended as follows. For the purposes of this bill, all items removed shall be denoted with a strikethrough (~~strikethrough~~) and all items added shall be denoted with an underline (underline).

- ~~2. Stipends are reflective of the weekly office hour requirement for each position. Stipends are based on performing the appropriate number of weekly office hours, as follows:~~
- ~~a. All positions receiving \$520 per month are expected twelve office hours.~~
  - ~~b. All positions receiving \$435 per month are expected ten office hours.~~
  - ~~c. All positions receiving \$350 per month are expected eight office hours.~~

**Section 6:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Jacob Schonfield, Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee

Co-Author(s): Elizabeth Duncan, Vice-Chair, SGA Budgetary Committee  
SGA Budgetary Committee

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Fisher seconded by Representative Duncan

Action taken by Congress: 31-0-0

Verified by Chair of Congress: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Submitted on a Motion by:

Action taken by Senate:

Verified by Chair of Senate: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Approved by SGA President: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-32

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure to outline  
behavioral misconduct guidelines; providing short  
title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT  
ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

- Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Behavioral Misconduct Removal Act”
- Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be is to outline the process for which members of Congress are removed for behavioral misconduct.
- Section 3:** AMENDATORY: The Undergraduate Student Congress Bylaws Section 8 is amended as follows. New additions shall be denoted by an underline, and deletions shall be denoted by a strikethrough.

Section 3.4: REMOVAL FROM MEMBERSHIP

1. ATTENDANCE RECORDS

1. Attendance is ultimately the responsibility of each individual member.
2. In order to assist members with keeping track of their attendance and to inform constituents, the Student Congress Secretary shall weekly publish a list with the number of excused and unexcused absences each member has accrued. The Student Congress Secretary shall also keep track of each member’s infraction point total.
3. All Associates must attend the first meeting of each month that the Student Congress hold a General Body meeting to acquire their attendance. They shall directly notify the Congressional Secretary if they are unable to attend.

2. EXPULSION FROM STUDENT CONGRESS FOR ABSCENCES

1. A member of Congress may accrue three (3) unexcused absences, aggregated from general body meetings, committee meetings, and monthly constituent service requirements, without being eligible for expulsion for excessive unexcused absences.
2. Absences may be excused at the discretion of the Secretary. All absences not excused shall be recorded as unexcused.
3. In the case of foreseeable, repetitive absences a member may petition the executive committee of the Undergraduate Student Congress for an attendance waiver.

4. In the event that a member of Congress has accrued multiple absences, the Student Congress Secretary shall make a concerted effort to contact the member so that the member is aware of their accrued absences.
5. In the event that a member of Congress accrues at least four (4) unexcused absences in the current legislative session, said member may be expelled from Student Congress. Absences for every member of Congress shall be set to zero (0) at the start of each new session.
6. Upon accruing the fourth (4th) absence, the Student Congress Secretary shall prepare an act of procedure to expel any member of Congress who has accrued excessive unexcused absences.
7. This act of expulsion shall be brought before the Executive Committee at its most immediate meeting. If the act receives a ~~“Do Pass”~~ or “No Recommendation” recommendation, it shall appear before Student Congress on the agenda at the next regular general meeting.
8. While the inclusion of the act of expulsion on the agenda shall be considered notice of pending expulsion, the Student Congress Secretary shall notify the member of Congress in question about the pending legislation by electronic mail to said Congressional member's university-sponsored electronic mail account.
9. A two-thirds (2/3) vote of representatives present and voting is required to expel a member.
  1. If an act of expulsion of a member of Congress fails, that member must accrue two (2) additional unexcused absences before they are again eligible for expulsion by the above procedure.
  2. If a committee officer is successfully expelled, that committee officer is removed from their position and loses their seat if they possess one. If a member is successfully expelled, that member loses their seat.
  3. If a member is successfully expelled, they are not eligible to be appointed to any district until the session in which the member was expelled has passed. All of the following shall apply only to the application process and shall not affect the election process in any way.
    1. After the session in which the member was expelled has passed, the expelled member may be allowed to apply for a district's seat, should the seat be vacant. The expelled member should receive the same application process as does a new applicant.
      1. If a formerly expelled member returns to their seat in the next session and subsequently is expelled a second (2nd) time, then they may be allowed to reapply in the next session as associates only.
      2. Upon a third (3rd) expulsion for the member having been reappointed as an associate, that member should be automatically considered expelled for life in the application process, waivable by the Executive Committee upon review.

### 3. EXPULSION FROM STUDENT CONGRESS FOR MISCONDUCT

1. In the event that a member of Congress commits an infraction, a formal complaint shall be submitted in writing physically or electronically by an SGA member, staff, faculty, or student detailing the alleged misconduct of the incident to the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and/or Secretary.

2. The Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall investigate the complaint, and if deemed necessary, call into questioning the alleged Congress member as well as any other SGA member, staff, faculty, and/or student about the alleged incident. The Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall use any means at their disposal to investigate the alleged conduct.
  1. The Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary (and all parties involved in the report) shall keep the investigation private, and shall not disclose any information of the investigation to another SGA member and staff.
3. If the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary all agree that the complaint is credible, then the infracting Congress member shall be penalized by the appropriate amount of infraction points outlined below. If the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary cannot all come to an agreement, or if they do not believe that the complaint is credible, then the alleged member shall not be penalized.
4. If the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, or Secretary have a conflict of interest with the accused Congress member or was directly involved in the incident, then they shall recuse themselves from making a decision about penalization. If the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, or Secretary have a conflict of interest with the accused Congress member or were directly involved in the incident in question, then penalization shall be delegated to the Congress committee chairs. If the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary and committee chairs were all directly involved in the incident, then the process shall precede as normal.
  1. If required to be taken to the Congress committee chairs due to conflict of interest for the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, or Secretary, then the Congress committee chairs shall be required to vote by a 6/7 majority. Should it be the case that one committee chair recuses themselves, then this becomes a 5/6 majority. If more than one committee chair recuses themselves, then it shall require unanimity. If there are less than three committee chairs who have not recused themselves, then the decision shall go back to the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary.
    - a. Congress committee chairs shall be made aware of the accused Congress member before voting.
5. If the infracting Congress member is penalized only by the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and the Secretary, then the infracting Congress member shall be informed of the penalization and the amount by the Congress Secretary within twenty-four (24) hours of the decision being made.
  1. Penalization shall be made confidential and should only be known to the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, Secretary, and the infracting Congress member.
6. If the infracting Congress member is penalized only by the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and the Secretary, then the alleged Congress member shall have five (5) academic school days to appeal the decision to the Congress committee chairs, whereby they shall take a simple majority vote to sustain, lessen, or overrule the decision. Any Congress committee chair that has a conflict of interest with the accused Congress member and/or was directly involved in the incident shall recuse themselves from voting.
  1. The Congress Chair shall be required to place the appeal hearing in special orders of the executive committee's agenda.
  2. Congress committee chairs shall be made aware of the accused Congress member before voting.
  3. If the Executive Committee has an even number of voters due to recusals, then a tie would favor the accused Congress member and will not be penalized.

7. All infraction points for every Congress member shall be reset to zero (0) at the start of each new academic semester.
8. In the case that a member of Congress accrues at least fifteen (15) infraction points, the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, or Secretary, with the consent of another executive officer in Congress, shall write and author an expulsion bill against the infracting Congress member, with the consenting secondary executive officer as a co-author on the bill.
  1. The author at their discretion shall stipulate in the expulsion bill whether the alleged Congress member shall be removed “with prejudice” or “without prejudice”, whereby “with prejudice” shall entirely bar the Congress member from rejoining Congress if the bill passes the Congress General Body and whereby “without prejudice” shall allow the Congress member to rejoin Congress after the session they were expelled in has passed.
    - a. Such distinction shall be stipulated within Section 2 of the expulsion bill.
9. This expulsion bill shall be brought before the Executive Committee at its most immediate meeting. The Congress member subject to expulsion shall be informed of the Executive Committee meeting by the Congress Chair at least twenty-four (24) hours before the meeting's call to order.
10. If the act receives a “No Recommendation” recommendation in Executive Committee, it shall appear before Student Congress on the agenda at the next regular general body meeting.
11. The expulsion bill shall be brought before the body in items to be considered.
12. There shall be ten (10) minutes of time for questions for the author, followed by ten (10) minutes of time for questions for the Congress member being expelled. Both periods of questioning can be extended as with a normal piece of legislation.
13. Following time for questions, Congress shall motion to enter into a special executive session where the accused shall be allowed in the room. Only members of the Undergraduate Student Congress, SGA Advisors, and the author(s) shall be permitted to speak in Executive Session.
14. Following Executive Session, a two-thirds (2/3) vote of representatives present and voting is required to expel a member.
  1. If an act of expulsion of a member of Congress fails, that member must accrue four (4) additional misconduct points before they are again eligible for expulsion by the above procedure.
  2. If a committee officer is successfully expelled with prejudice, that committee officer is removed from their position, loses their seat, and can no longer serve in Congress. If a member is successfully expelled with prejudice, that member loses their seat and can no longer serve in Congress.
  3. If a member is successfully expelled without prejudice, they are not eligible to be appointed to any district until the session in which the member was expelled has passed. All of the following shall apply only to the application process and shall not affect the election process in any way.
    - a. After the session in which the member was expelled has passed, the expelled member may be allowed to apply for a district's seat, should the seat be vacant. The expelled member should receive the same application process as does a new applicant.
      1. If a formerly expelled member returns to their seat in the next session and subsequently is expelled without prejudice a second



- (2nd) time, then they may be allowed to reapply in the next session as an associate only.
  - 2. Upon a third (3rd) expulsion for the member having been reappointed as an associate, that member should be automatically considered expelled for life in the application process, waivable by the Executive Committee upon review.
- 4. If a member is successfully expelled with prejudice, then that member should be automatically considered expelled for life, waivable by the Executive Committee upon review.
  - a. Upon review, the Executive Committee shall be required to vote unanimously in order for the expelled member of Congress to enter into the body once again.

#### 4. POINT SYSTEM FOR CONGRESS MEMBER MISCONDUCT

1. Minor Infractions. The following infractions shall be considered "Minor Infractions," which the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall unanimously assign a member of Congress no less than one (1) and no more than three (3) points for each. Minor infractions can include but are not limited to:
  - o Mild unprofessional behavior, such as minor disruptions during meetings.
2. Moderate Infractions. The following infractions shall be considered "Moderate Infractions," which the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall unanimously assign a member of Congress no less than four (4) and no more than seven (7) points for each. Moderate infractions can include but are not limited to:
  - o Instances of hate speech
  - o Verbal altercations with SGA members, students, and/or faculty.
  - o Publicly engaging in slander against SGA members, school administration, faculty, and/or students.
  - o Unauthorized use of SGA resources and/or privileges.
3. Severe Infractions. The following infractions shall be considered "Severe Infractions," which the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall unanimously assign a member of Congress no less than eight (8) and no more than ten (10) points for each. Severe infractions can include but are not limited to:
  - o Bullying, harassment, and/or intimidation of any student, faculty, or SGA member.
  - o Abuse of power, such as coercion or discrimination.
  - o Disrupting official school or SGA functions in an aggressive or inappropriate manner.
  - o Acts of dishonesty, such as forging signatures or misrepresenting SGA.
  - o Engaging in criminal activity, including theft or vandalism.
4. Automatic Expulsion Infractions. The following infractions shall be considered "Automatic Expulsion Infractions," which the Congress Chair, Vice Chair, and Secretary shall unanimously assign a member of Congress fifteen (15) points. Automatic Expulsion Infractions can include but are not limited to:

- Physical violence or credible threats of violence against any student, faculty, or SGA member.
- Any action that results in suspension or expulsion from the school.

**Section 4:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Cody Walker, Arts and Sciences Representative

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Morgan seconded by Representative Hallum

Action taken by Congress: 30-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION

UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS  
CONGRESSIONAL SESSION CXIII

April 15, 2025

CONGRESSIONAL BILL CB-113-33

AS INTRODUCED

A Congressional Act of Procedure appointing new Representatives in the SGA Undergraduate Student Congress; providing short title; and providing an effective date.

BE IT ENACTED BY THE UNIVERSITY OF OKLAHOMA STUDENT GOVERNMENT ASSOCIATION UNDERGRADUATE STUDENT CONGRESS:

**Section 1:** Title: This act shall be known and may be cited as the “Session 113 Election Appointment Act.”

**Section 2:** Purpose: The purpose of this act shall be to appoint new Associates and Representatives pursuant to Section 3.2.3(b)(v).

**Section 3:** The following individuals are hereby appointed as Representatives in the SGA Undergraduate Student Congress.

- Parker Means, Academic Affairs Representative
- Cody Walker, Arts and Sciences Representative
- Brocke Graham, Business Representative
- Isaiah Wick, Business Representative

The following individuals are hereby appointed as an Associate in the SGA Undergraduate Student Congress.

- Donovan Leonard
- Erika Esfandiari

**Section 4:** This act shall become effective when passed in accordance with the SGA Constitution.

Author(s): Chloe Harris, Undergraduate Congress Secretary

Co-Author(s): Hope Rhoads, Undergraduate Congress Chair

Submitted on a Motion by: Representative Hallum seconded by Representative Estrada

Action taken by Congress: 27-0-0 unanimous pass

Verified by Chair: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

15-Apr				15-Apr			
Last	First	Intial	Final	Last	First	Intial	Final
Aslam	Syed	x		Ball	Reese		x
Ball	Reese	x		Beasley	William		ex
Beasley	William	ex		Bertotti	Hayden		a
Benitez Mendoza	Delia	x		Burnett	Ava		x
Bento	Marilou	x		Carter	Leo		x
Bertotti	Hayden	x		Christiansen	Henry		x
Burnett	Ava	x		Cunningham	Owen		x
Carter	Leo	x		Dailey	Keeley		x
Christiansen	Henry	x		Duncan	Elizabeth		x
Cunningham	Owen	x		Estrada	Nicole		x
Duncan	Elizabeth	x		Evans	Alexis		x
Estrada	Nicole	x		Finley	Jael		a
Fazzani	Diego	a		Fisher	Mecca		x
Finley	Jael	a		Glaza	Ava		x
Fisher	Mecca	a		Graham	Brocke		ex
Hallum	Elias	x		Hallum	Elias		x
Harris	Hudson	x		Harris	Hudson		x
Hawley	Kayla	x		Hawkins	Da'juan		x
Higareda Castillo	Lilian	ex		Hawley	Kayla		x
Kathuria	Shrey	x		Higareda Castillo	Lilian		ex
Keupen	Ben	ex		Lapp	Bridget		ex
Koneru	Aniketh	x		Lartey	Ominy		x
Lapp	Bridget	ex		Martin	Kyle		x
Martin	Kyle	x		Means	Parker		x
Ogte	Pierce	a		Morgan	Coleman		x
Oler	Kyle	x		Prajapati	Himani		ex
Pruitt	Aydan	x		Pruitt	Aydan		x
Richardson	Thomas	x		Quinones	Estrella		ex
Robinson	Caleb	x		Radzanowski	Lorelai		x
Schonfield	Jacob	x		Rapp	Madeline		x
Schroeder	Brooklyn	a		Robinson	Caleb		x
Toyos	Alexandra	x		Schonfield	Jacob		x
Vedra	Hayden	x		Toyos	Alexandra		x
Walker	Cade	x		Vedra	Hayden		x
Walker	Cody	x		Walker	Cade		x
Wang	Andy	x		Walker	Cody		x
Wintory	Michael	x		Wang	Andy		x
PRESENT		28	0	Wick	Isaiah		x
ABSENT		5	0	PRESENT		0	30
EXCUSED		4	0	ABSENT		0	2
				EXCUSED		0	6

Last	First	CB-113-33
Ball	Reese	y
Beasley	William	
Bertotti	Hayden	y
Burnett	Ava	y
Carter	Lauren	y
Christiansen	Henry	y
Cunningham	Owen	y
Dailey	Keeley	y
Duncan	Elizabeth	y
Estrada	Nicole	y
Evans	Alexis	
Finley	Jael	
Fisher	Mecca	y
Glaza	Ava	y
Hallum	Elias	y
Harris	Hudson	y
Hawkins	Da'juan	y
Hawley	Kayla	y
Higareda Castillo	Lilian	
Lapp	Bridget	
Lartey	Ominy	y
Martin	Kyle	y
Morgan	Coleman	y
Prajapati	Himani	
Pruitt	Aydan	y
Quinones	Estrella	
Radzanowski	Lorelai	y
Rapp	Madeline	y
Robinson	Caleb	y
Schonfield	Jacob	y
Toyos	Alexandra	y
Vedra	Hayden	y
Walker	Cade	y
Wang	Andy	y
Yes		27
No		0
Abstain		0

Last	First	CR-113-07	CR-113-08	CR-113-09	CR-113-10	CR-113-11	CR-113-12	CB-113-26	CB-113-27	CB-113-28	CB-113-29/ CB-113-30/ CB-113-31	CB-113-32	objection	exit debate	
Ball	Reese	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Beasley	William														
Bertotti	Hayden	y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	y		n		
Burnett	Ava	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Carter	Leo	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Christiansen	Henry	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Cunningham	Owen	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Dailey	Keeley	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Duncan	Elizabeth	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Estrada	Nicole	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Evans	Alexis	y	y		y	y	y	y	y		y	y	n	y	
Finley	Jael														
Fisher	Mecca	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y		y	y	
Glaza	Ava	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Graham	Brocke														
Hallum	Elias	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Harris	Hudson	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Hawkins	Da'juan	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Hawley	Kayla	y	y	y	y	y		y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Higareda Castillo	Lilian														
Lapp	Bridget														
Lartey	Ominy	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Martin	Kyle	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Means	Parker	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Morgan	Coleman	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Prajapati	Himani														
Pruitt	Aydan	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Quinones	Estrella														
Radzanowski	Lorelai	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Rapp	Madeline	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Robinson	Caleb	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Schonfield	Jacob	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Toyos	Alexandra	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	
Vedra	Hayden	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Walker	Cade	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Walker	Cody	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Wang	Andy	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Wick	Isaiah	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	y	n	y	
Yes		31	31	30	30	31	30	31	31	30		31	30	14	30
No		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	17	0
Abstain		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0	0	0